

CONTENTS

Preface	ix
Acknowledgments	xi
1. Why Prairie Dogs?	1
2. Meet the Players	9
3. Taxonomy and Natural History	16
4. Methods	44
5. Why Colonies?	75
6. Predation	107
7. Vigilance	122
8. Alarm Calling	132
9. Social Organization within Prairie Dog Colonies	161
10. Other Types of Social Organization within Prairie Dog Colonies	190
11. Colonies, Demography, and Population Dynamics	202
12. Reproductive Senescence	219
13. Annual and Lifetime Reproductive Success (ARS and LRS)	226
14. Body Mass and Sexual Dimorphism among Prairie Dogs	244
15. Estrus and Copulation	262
16. The Mating Call	298
17. Life after Copulation for Female Prairie Dogs	316
18. Communal Nursing	330
19. Polyandry	350
20. Polygyny	366
21. Infanticide	379
22. Sex-Biased Natal Dispersal Is a Great Way to Avoid Incest	403
23. Prairie Dogs Also Disperse after the Disappearance of All Close Kin	426

24.	Sex Ratio Selection	433
25.	Prairie Dogs Frequently Kill Ground Squirrels	441
26.	Interspecific Differences in the Behavioral Ecology of Prairie Dogs	456
27.	Conservation and Management of Prairie Dogs	474
28.	What's Next for the Behavioral Ecology of Prairie Dogs?	491
	Appendix. Common and Scientific Names of Organisms Mentioned in This Book, Arranged by Taxonomic Groups	499
	References	517
	Index	591

1

WHY PRAIRIE DOGS?

When I talk about my research, the most common question in almost every conversation or lecture is, “Why prairie dogs?” My response to this recurrent, incisive question seems like a good way to start the book that summarizes my 45 consecutive years of field research with the four species of prairie dogs that inhabit the western United States.

When I was a first-year graduate student with an interest in ecology and evolution at the University of Michigan in spring 1972, I collaborated with Paul Sherman to initiate research on why animals live in groups. For our study animals, we chose bank swallows, which are small birds that rear offspring in colonies that often contain hundreds of nests.^{2171,2683} Our field study was the first to focus on the costs as well as the benefits of coloniality.¹³²⁰ In an attempt to better understand the generality of our conclusions for bank swallows, Sherman and I decided for our doctoral research that we wanted to study colonial, diurnal, herbivorous, medium-size or small *mammals* that lived in the United States. Sherman began long-term research with Belding’s ground squirrels, and later with northern Idaho ground squirrels.^{2516,2519,2520,2523,2526,2528} I initiated research in southwestern Wyoming with Wyoming ground squirrels, which were called Richardson’s ground squirrels in 1973 when I first saw them.^{512,896,897,1902,3093}

My research with Wyoming ground squirrels flopped immediately. The reason was simple: despite early reports,^{511,512,1886,3075} those squirrels do not live in discrete colonies. How could I study costs and benefits of coloniality for an animal that does not live in colonies? Seeing my calamity and

desperation, my wife (Judy Gaynier Hoogland) of 4 months instantly responded, “Why not study prairie dogs? *Everybody* knows that prairie dogs live in colonies.” I listened, and I visited black-tailed prairie dogs (black-tails) soon thereafter in Fort Collins, Colorado, in late April 1974. I was mesmerized by the nonstop vocalizations, and by the chases, fights, and kisses that I was seeing everywhere. Moreover, the black-tails were living in a distinct colony. Instantly, my doctoral research took on a new life.

My early research with prairie dogs was an extension of Sherman’s and my research with bank swallows—namely, what are the costs of prairie dog coloniality, and what are the benefits that override those costs? When compared to black-tails of smaller colonies, I learned that black-tails in large colonies (1) experienced higher competition for burrows, mates, and other resources; (2) harbored more fleas; and (3) were more conspicuous to predators. The only benefit of coloniality seemed to be a lower probability of predation for both adults and juveniles—because black-tails in large colonies detected predators, and then gave alarm calls, more quickly than black-tails in smaller colonies. For a compelling confirmation, I documented the same trends for a second species of prairie dog (white-tailed prairie dog, or white-tail) that lives in smaller, less densely populated colonies than black-tails (chapters 5 and 21).

So now you know how I got *started* on my research with prairie dogs in late April 1974. But why did I *continue* through 07 July 2018? The short answer is Prairie Dog Axiom #1: The more I learned about prairie dogs, the less I actually knew.

Following are brief summaries of the main issues in the behavioral ecology of prairie dogs that I explored after my early research with coloniality.

Alarm Calls Warned Both Close and More Distant Kin (Chapter 8)

An alarm call warns conspecifics (members of the same species) of danger, and alarm calling is a major reason why the probability of predation is lower in large versus smaller colonies of black-tails and white-tails. But why should an individual draw a predator's attention to itself by calling in order to save other prairie dogs with whom it competes? This question became my new focus as I was ending my research on the costs and benefits of prairie dog coloniality and starting to focus on territorial family groups of prairie dogs called *coterries* or *clans* (chapters 3, 9, and 10).

Seminal research with Belding's ground squirrels by my good friend and first collaborator (Paul Sherman) indicated that the most likely payoff for alarm calling is that the calls warn genetic relatives of danger (i.e., are *nepotistic*), and beneficiaries are most commonly juvenile offspring.^{689,795,2516} The same was true for male and female alarm callers of all four species of prairie dogs that I studied. The alarm calls warned not only offspring, however, but also other close kin such as mothers, littermate siblings, and nonlittermate siblings as well. Further and more important, calls also warned more distant kin such as aunts, nieces, nephews, and first cousins. Moreover, beyond showing just nepotism, alarm calls of prairie dog females showed *discriminative nepotism*^{31,33,34,2962,2969}: Females with close kin (offspring or mother) in the home territory were more likely to call than females with only more distant kin (e.g., nonlittermate siblings, nieces and nephews, full- and half-first cousins) in the home territory.

Some Prairie Dogs Were More Susceptible Than Others to Predation (Chapter 6)

The prairie dog defense against predators was consistently excellent, and captures at our colony-sites were rare (chapters 5 and 6). My research

assistants and I had a special situation in 2005, however, when predations by a single red fox and one or more northern goshawks were unusually common at our colony-site of Utah prairie dogs (utahs). As for many other prey species, we were expecting that the most common adult victims of predation would be individuals with one or more of the following traits: old, young, sickly, or weak.^{238,1389,1693,2313,2393,2298,2433} But the utahs surprised us, in three ways: (1) The largest, most aggressive, and most successful utah males were more susceptible than other males to predation during the 3 weeks of the mating season—because the former males were so committed to finding, inseminating, and then guarding sexually receptive females, with little concern for predators. (2) Further, for the third and fourth weeks after they copulated, utah females in late pregnancy were especially vulnerable—probably because they were overweight with as many as seven large fetuses, and therefore unable to run as fast as other females. (3) Last, adult immigrants were also easy targets soon after the arrival into a new colony-site—probably because they were less familiar than long-term residents with the best routes for escape in times of danger. For (1) through (3), my students and I appreciated the opportunity to demonstrate that certain types of adult prairie dogs were especially, and unexpectedly, vulnerable to predation during small windows of time.

Most Females Were Polyandrous (Chapter 19)

Colonies offer outstanding opportunities to maximize reproductive success. Like every other behavioral ecologist, I soon became obsessed with trying to figure out the mating system of the species I was studying. Black-tails offered my first challenge. Previous anecdotal research indicated that black-tails in South Dakota usually copulate in late February and early March.^{1492,2178} Even though four research assistants and I watched every day for the entire time that black-tails were aboveground at my colony-site in South Dakota from mid-February through early March 1978, however, we did not see

a single aboveground copulation. Then something new and perplexing happened on 11 March 1978. Late in the day, female-62 was constantly being chased by, and getting attacked by, the single sexually mature male (male-17) in her home territory. Estrus (sexual receptivity), perhaps? The pair remained aboveground for more than 30 minutes after all other members of the colony-site had submerged for the night. But female-62 and male-17 never copulated aboveground.

Confused, I hyper-focused my watching of female-62 on the following morning of 12 March 1978. Her behavior was radically different from her actions of the previous day. She was now the aggressor in all interactions with male-17, and she also became aggressive with the other adult female in her home territory. And then female-62 began to take many mouthfuls of dry grass underground. Female-62 was acting like a female that had already copulated! Frustrated and bewildered, I was forced to concede that black-tails must copulate underground. And then discouragement set in. How could my assistants and I study the black-tail mating system if females copulate underground? Desperate, I could only think to fixate on females that acted like female-62 on 11 March 1978—and hope for the best. I got lucky. Over the next 2 weeks and the next several years, I identified eight diagnostic behaviors that enabled my teams and me to identify when a black-tail male and female were copulating underground. And we learned that, even though the black-tail mating system for the entire colony-site usually spanned about 4 weeks, each female—like female-62 on 11 March 1978—was in estrus and sexually receptive for only several hours on a single day of each year.

Once I figured out how to document underground copulations, I quickly realized a black-tail female often copulated with more than one male during the single day of her sexual receptivity. When I read about mating systems of other species, I learned that females of almost every sexual species that had been carefully studied—from hundreds of plant species through humans and other primates—commonly solicit sperm from two or more males.^{275,1107,1454,1926,2551,2565,2659,2818,3173,3174} But

why? A female almost always can obtain sufficient sperm to fertilize all her eggs from a single copulation, and additional copulations exacerbate the risks associated with copulation, such as diseases, parasites, and predation. This puzzle annoyed me for years, more so over time as I learned that polyandry (i.e., copulating with two or more males) was also common among Gunnison's prairie dogs (gunnisons), utahs, and white-tails. When I had accumulated information from more than 2700 copulations of 1751 estrous (sexually receptive) females over all four species, I seized the opportunity to compare annual reproductive success (ARS) versus a female's number of sexual partners. For gunnisons, utahs, and white-tails—but not black-tails—polyandrous females experienced at least one of three hefty benefits when compared to monandrous females: they were more likely to conceive, they weaned larger litters, or their offspring had higher survivorship in the first year after weaning. For three species of prairie dogs, at least, polyandry is no longer a mystery—with the implication that these same benefits might apply to the thousands of other less-studied species for which females frequently mate with more than one male.

Documenting the prevalence and significance of polyandry among prairie dogs was exciting and far-reaching—second only to the simultaneous horror and thrill of recording infanticides, as described later.

Prairie Dogs Dispersed to Avoid Incest (Chapter 22)

Incest, or *extreme inbreeding* (i.e., copulation with close kin, for which the coefficient of genetic relatedness, $r_s \geq 0.2500$), commonly leads to offspring that do not live as long, or do not rear as many offspring, as offspring from copulations with non-kin (outbreeding).^{901,2534,2657,3008} When colonies are isolated and individuals tend to mature and rear offspring near the natal site (i.e., are *philopatric*), the avoidance of inbreeding might be challenging, as for species such as American pikas, banded mongooses, hoary marmots, naked mole rats, and yellow-bellied marmots.^{103,104,179,2071,2530,2592,3189}

Such avoidance is a potential problem for the four species of prairie dogs that I studied, but I discovered four different mechanisms that deter copulations with close kin such as parents, offspring, and littermate siblings: (1) Young males usually dispersed away from the mother and littermate sisters of the natal territory before reaching sexual maturity, but females usually remained in the natal territory for life. (2) Older males usually dispersed away from their daughters of the breeding territory before their stay-at-home daughters reached sexual maturity. When dispersal of young and old males did not separate close kin of the opposite sex, then (3) a female sometimes did not come into estrus, and thereby skipped an entire year of reproduction, or (4) she came into estrus but refused to copulate with a father or littermate brother of the natal territory. These four mechanisms helped to prevent copulations with close kin, but black-tails frequently copulated with more distant kin such as half-nieces and nephews, and full- and half-first and second cousins (chapter 22). Incest was thus rare for black-tails, but *moderate inbreeding* was common.

Prairie Dogs Also Dispersed for a Reason Unrelated to Incest (Chapter 23)

As just described, a female prairie dog of all four species usually remained in the home natal territory for life, but a male usually remained in the home natal territory only until he attained sexual maturity, and then he dispersed. But an unexpected surprise regarding dispersal occurred when—upon persistent persuasion from Judy Gaynier Hoogland and after almost four decades of completed research³¹⁴³—I tried to figure out why usually philopatric females sometimes dispersed. Too rare, I thought—so why bother? To my astonishment, however, my investigation revealed that a female of all four species was more likely to disperse when all her close kin (mother, daughters, sons, and littermate siblings) within the home territory had died or dispersed—12.5 times more likely for black-tails, and 3.2 times more likely for gunnisons. This blockbuster

pattern defies the long-accepted wisdom of two of ecology's superstars (William Hamilton and Robert May¹¹⁵⁰) that relatives tend to disperse to avoid competing with close relatives of the home territory. Similar dispersal in response to the *absence* of nearby close kin has been documented in only one other vertebrate species¹¹³ even though dispersal is one of the most commonly and thoroughly studied issues in all of ecology.^{334,1097,1527,1596,1606,2663,2916} Serendipity at its finest, thanks to Judy Hoogland.

Infanticide Was Common (Chapter 21)

Infanticide—the killing of conspecific juveniles—occurs in myriad colonial species, but usually occurs at a low frequency and does not involve kin.^{1207,1720,2120,2520} For black-tails, however, both adult males and lactating females commonly killed and cannibalized a female's unweaned offspring, and this infanticide was the main cause of juvenile mortality in most years. Lactating black-tail females were especially likely to kill, and murdered most or all of the juveniles for a whopping 65 of 294 litters (22%) under surveillance by my students and me over several years. These 65 mass killings involved 38 different females, and, incredibly, the victims were the offspring of close kin in the killer's home territory in 62 of the 65 killings (95%). The most common victims were the offspring of the killer's mother (the killer's full- or half-siblings), the offspring of the killer's daughter (the killer's grandoffspring), or the offspring of the killer's littermate sister (the killer's nieces and nephews). Some black-tail lactating females were more likely to kill than others. One serial killer, for example, slew all juveniles of seven litters over 3 years, and some juveniles of one other litter. Another serial killer dispatched all juveniles of four litters over 3 years, and some juveniles of two other litters. Black-tail infanticides occurred underground and were so subtle that my teams and I watched marked black-tails for 7 consecutive years before we suspected they were occurring. Once we learned the diagnostic aboveground behaviors associated with underground infanticides, however, we documented the elimination

via infanticide of a minimum of four entire litters per year over the next 8 years.

Documenting black-tail kin-directed infanticides was the greatest challenge of my 4.5 decades of research. But documenting black-tail infanticides was also the most exhilarating task, because the stakes were so high when a female killed the offspring of her mother, her daughter, or her littermate sister. Nobody ever would have predicted that back in the 1970s.

In addition to the many infanticides within my black-tail colony-sites, my research assistants and I looked for infanticides at colony-sites of the other three species that I studied. Two of our most compelling results are that infanticide was absent for the species for which colony densities (and competition, presumably) were lowest (white-tails), and varied directly with colony density for black-tails, gunnisons, and utahs (chapter 21). These findings offer persuasive support for the argument in every previous study of infanticide that killing of conspecific juveniles ultimately results from competition for resources such as mates or territories.^{235,828,1207,1719,2183,2520,2842}

Prairie Dog Females Commonly Nursed the Offspring of Other Females (Chapter 18)

Because the production of milk is costly,^{557,1394} and because natural selection typically favors mothers that are especially concerned with their own offspring,^{542,2962,3017} communal nursing (i.e., the nursing of another female's offspring), in theory, should be rare. When juvenile black-tails were about 6 weeks old and appeared aboveground from their natal nursery-burrows for the first time, however, mothers commonly suckled the offspring of close kin such as sisters, daughters, and nieces living in the home territory—the *same offspring* they had been trying to kill for the previous several weeks when infanticides were so common! Life for prairie dogs is all about balancing competition versus cooperation, but this sudden black-tail transition from the *killing* of the offspring of close kin to the *communal nursing* of the offspring of close kin was nonetheless extraordinary.

In addition to the many cases of communal nursing at my black-tail colony-site, communal nursing of foster offspring (i.e., offspring of a different mother) also occurred at my gunnison and utah colony-sites.

Demography, Population Dynamics, and Senescence (Chapters 11–14 and 24)

Demography is the study of factors that affect the survivorship and reproduction of individuals, and the dynamics of their populations.^{1553,1916,2658} Demography was never a focus of my research with prairie dogs. However, my investigations of issues such as alarm calling, annual and lifetime reproductive success (ARS and LRS), and levels of inbreeding required the ear-tagging and marking of *every* resident at the colony-site each year via Catch 'em, Mark 'em, Watch 'em (see chapter 4). I was no dummy, and recognized that this comprehensive marking program provided fortuitous, outstanding opportunities to investigate several meaningful demographic topics that were relevant to behavioral ecology—such as body mass, immigration, life tables, senescence, sex ratios, and sexual dimorphism.

Prairie Dogs Sometimes Killed Ground Squirrels (Chapter 25)

Individuals of different species frequently compete with each other. African lions and spotted hyenas try to capture individuals of the same prey species,^{462,2926} for example, as do Iberian lynxes and red foxes.^{912,913} To reduce interspecific competition, natural selection sometimes favors divergence in behavior, ecology, morphology, or physiology.^{397,1730,2457,2694} But natural selection has favored a different, unusual strategy for one species of prairie dog: white-tail adults sometimes kill, but do not consume, Wyoming ground squirrels (hereafter, simply “ground squirrels”) encountered in a white-tail's home territory. Similar to white-tails in many ways but smaller, ground squirrels are gregarious (but not truly “colonial,” as noted earlier), diurnal, burrowing rodents that

inhabit western North America. Further, ground squirrels eat *exactly the same plants* that white-tails also consume, at almost the same frequencies.^{514, 1315, 1316} In addition, ground squirrel females frequently have their nursery-burrows within white-tail colony-sites.

My students and I discovered that interspecific killing (IK) of ground squirrels by white-tails was common, involving 47 different killers of both sexes. In 3 of 6 years, the number of IKs was higher than the number of ground squirrels killed by the *combined efforts* of *fifteen mammalian and avian predators* such as American badgers, coyotes, and Swainson's hawks. Incredible! In a typical IK, the white-tail chased and then captured the trespassing ground squirrel; repeatedly bit it in the neck or thorax over a period of 1–3 minutes; shook it several times until death; and then walked away with no consumption. "Catch it, bite it, shake it, kill it, and leave it."

Most killers (N=28) slew a single ground squirrel, but 19 serial killers dispatched two or more ground squirrels. One white-tail female killed six ground squirrels over 5 years; another killed nine over 4 years; and a third killed seven ground squirrel juveniles from the same litter in a single day. My large sample size (N=163 IKs, over 6 years) allowed Charles Brown and me to demonstrate that white-tail females that killed ground squirrels had significantly higher ARS and LRS than nonkillers (chapter 25). Documenting the existence and consequences of interspecific killing of ground squirrels by white-tails was one more electrifying finding of my research.

Conservation of Prairie Dogs (Chapter 27)

Prairie dogs of all four species have a profound impact on their grassland ecosystems, and are therefore *keystone species*.^{650, 668–670, 673, 674, 1202, 1544, 1545, 1803, 1913, 3167, 3170} Their burrows change the landscape and soil chemistry, affect the cycling of water and nutrients, alter floral species composition, and provide shelter and nesting habitat for many other organisms such as burrowing owls, tiger salamanders, and hundreds of species of insects and spiders. Further, prairie dogs serve as prey for

numerous predators such as American badgers, bald and golden eagles, black-footed ferrets, bobcats, coyotes, long-tailed weasels, northern goshawks, prairie falcons, and red foxes. If prairie dogs disappear, grassland ecosystems as we know them will vanish as well.

Despite their importance as keystone species, all four species of prairie dogs that I studied are now rare because of 200 years of plague, poisoning, recreational shooting, and destruction of habitat. The focus of my long-term research was never conservation, but many of my results can help us to save prairie dogs for future generations. One of my key findings, for example, has helped to dispel a century-old myth perpetuated by farmers and ranchers—namely, that prairie dogs are fast-breeding like mice and rats, and that they will take over grasslands unless they are exterminated. By contrast, I have documented that prairie dogs first captured as juveniles do not live long (usually only 1 or 2 years), that many females do not reproduce every year, and that successful females can only wean a small number of offspring (usually three or four), per year. If we want to save prairie dogs and their ecosystems, knowledge is power. My research has provided copious, crucial information on every aspect of the biology of prairie dogs. The battle to save prairie dogs is one we can win (chapter 27).

Definitions for Common Terms in This Book

Natural selection—or differential reproduction, the process by which some parents rear more reproductive offspring than other parents—leads directly to both evolution and adaptation.^{978, 1232, 3007, 3017} *Evolution* is a change in the frequency of *alleles*—i.e., variations of the same gene—over time.^{1000, 1829} An *adaptation* is some sort of anatomical, physiological, or behavioral trait shaped by natural selection to improve survivorship or reproduction.^{680, 2015; see also 3007, 3010} The most common way to transmit alleles to the next generation is via offspring, and natural selection usually favors those individuals that produced the largest number of reproductive offspring. An individual's nondescendant genetic relatives also share many alleles identical by

descent, and close kin share more alleles than more distant kin. Consequently, natural selection also might favor individuals that help kin such as siblings, parents, and nieces and nephews to survive and reproduce.^{696,943,1144,1232,1827,2969} Reproductive success via offspring is called *direct fitness*, and reproductive success via nondescendant kin is called *indirect fitness* via *indirect selection*.^{390,764,1015,1464,1528,2065,3126} *Inclusive fitness*^{1144,1484,1528,2962,3192} measures an individual's reproductive success via both offspring and nondescendant kin, and thus is a sum of both direct and indirect fitness. *Kin selection* is natural selection for characteristics that favor the survival of close relatives such as offspring, siblings, and nieces and nephews.^{1827; see also 696,1144,2962}

Altruism involves a cost with no overriding benefit to self to confer a benefit to another individual (usually a conspecific); *reciprocal altruism* (*reciprocity*) is an exchange of actions that yields an overall benefit to both interacting individuals—i.e., cooperation involving reciprocating partners.^{2561,2797,2800,2998} *Spite* involves a cost to both interacting individuals—i.e., an individual incurs personal harm in order to harm another individual, with no overriding benefits to either individual.^{1016,1147,2961} I looked, but detected no clear evidence of altruism, reciprocal altruism, or spite for any of the four species of prairie dogs that I studied.

A *colony* is a group of conspecifics living together, often at a single colony-site but other times with regular movement. A few other genus-specific or species-specific names for colony include band, bevy, community, flock, gaggle, herd, mob, pack, swarm, and troop. Individuals of a *different* species sometimes predictably live at colony-sites of a species—e.g., ground squirrels at colony-sites of white-tails (chapter 25). Some biologists have used the name *town* for an aggregation of prairie dogs,^{593,594,930,1562,2087,2446} and others have used the term *village*.^{600,603,1139,1268,1658,2445; cited in 2758,2884} Prairie dog colony-sites often have subcolony-sites called *wards* (chapters 3 and 11). A group of distinct colony-sites in the same geographic area is called a prairie dog *complex*.^{134,647,1521,1785} A forb is a non-woody plant that is not a grass.

Mammalian females usually copulate only during a brief period of sexual receptivity called *estrus*,

heat, or *season*.^{63,216,364,999,1346,2368,2611} *Ovulation* is the release of eggs from the ovary, so that *fertilization* of eggs by sperm can occur.^{216,364,421,432,2368} *Copulation* is the coupling of male and female genitalia, and *insemination* is the deposition of sperm into a female's reproductive tract.⁸³⁵ Copulation does not always involve insemination, and insemination does not always lead to fertilization of a female's ova.^{721,835} I scored a successful copulation for prairie dogs when a sexually mature male spent ≥ 5 minutes underground with an estrous female, or when a sexually mature male copulated for ≥ 2 minutes aboveground with an estrous female (chapter 15). *Cuckoldry* occurred when a juvenile born within a resident prairie dog male's home territory was sired by a male from a different territory.

Monandry occurred when a female copulated with a single male only, and *polyandry* occurred when a female copulated with two or more males (chapter 19). *Monogyny* occurred when a male copulated with a single female only, and *polygyny* occurred when a male copulated with two or more females (chapter 20).

A *juvenile* prairie dog first emerged from the natal nursery-burrow ≤ 6 months before the time of observations. An *unweaned juvenile* had not yet appeared aboveground, and *emergent juveniles* had appeared aboveground after first emerging from the natal nursery-burrow. Juvenile prairie dogs sometimes continued to nurse from their own and other mothers after first emergence from the natal nursery-burrow (chapters 17 and 18). With this understanding, I often refer in this book to *weaning* as the date of first emergence from the natal nursery-burrow, and to offspring at first emergence as *weanlings* (chapters 11–14).

An *adult* prairie dog (including 1-year-olds, or *yearlings*) first emerged from the natal nursery-burrow ≥ 9 months ago. *Yearlings* were young adults that emerged from the natal nursery-burrow ≥ 9 months ago, but ≤ 20 months ago; with only a few exceptions that are clearly noted, the term “adult” includes both yearlings and older individuals. A *resident male* was a sexually mature male within a territory (chapters 4, 9, and 10).

Immigration occurred when an individual (an immigrant) entered a local population from far

away, and was unequivocal evidence of successful long-distance dispersal.^{738,852}

Additional definitions for terms related to dispersal and level of inbreeding are listed in table 22.1.

What's New in This Book?

I have published 60+ articles in peer-reviewed journals, and two books, about different aspects of my long-term research with prairie dogs. Readers might therefore wonder, “What’s new in this book?” Good question, which I will try to answer here:

- Many of the chapters in this book focus on topics for which I have published no information for either gunnisons, or utahs, or white-tails. Some of those topics include ARS and LRS for males and females, communal nursing, demography, dispersal in groups, infanticide, mating calls, polygyny, senescence, and sex ratios.
- I published much of my information for black-tails in my first two books,^{1294, 1304} but I have reanalyzed many of those data for this book for comparisons with the other three species. These reanalyses have yielded new results and several new conclusions. The merging of black-tail information with information from the other three species into a single book seemed like a valuable objective—better and more useful than a separate book for each species.
- For all four species of prairie dogs that inhabit the western United States, my book includes short stories about confessions, disappointments, dreams, failures, mistakes, successes, and surprises. It includes compelling results that support some of my hypotheses, but it also

includes findings that offer only tepid support—or no support whatsoever—for other hypotheses. My book also offers recommendations and encouragement for future behavioral ecologists who want to increase our knowledge and understanding of the amusing, captivating, provocative, and puzzling keystone species that I was able to study for 45 consecutive years.

Order of Chapters, Interspecific Differences, and Scientific Names

The chapters in this book are all related. A clear understanding of demography and population dynamics of prairie dogs (chapter 11), for example, requires information about infanticide (chapter 21), predation (chapters 5 and 6), and reproductive senescence (chapter 12). Similarly, a thorough appreciation of polyandry, polygyny, and reproductive success (chapters 13, 19, and 20) depends on my discoveries about estrus, copulations, and mating calls (chapters 15 and 16). Logical, satisfactory ordering of chapters was therefore challenging. In an attempt to mitigate this problem, I have included a comprehensive index.

For issues such as alarm calling and mating calls, the four species of prairie dogs were remarkably similar. For other topics such as polyandry and infanticide, the species were radically different. My first draft included a discussion of interspecific similarities and differences for each chapter. In response to an astute referee, this book now condenses comparisons of interspecific similarities and differences into a single chapter (chapter 26).

Common and scientific names for all organisms mentioned in this book are listed in the appendix, which includes page numbers for information about each species.

Summary

In the chapters that follow, I describe in more detail the discoveries summarized in this chapter, and other sweeping findings as well. As in several other studies,^{104,246,384,452,534,535,1212,1455,1485,1487,1617,2155, 2320,2345,2486,2643,3172,3225} my findings nicely show the copious rewards of careful long-term research. Prairie dogs are charming and cute—but they also can be nasty serial killers.

INDEX

Note: Common and scientific names for all organisms mentioned in this book are listed in the appendix.

- abandonment: of black-tail neonates aboveground, 39, 319; of black-tail neonates underground, 393; of old burrows, 26; of offspring after death of mother, 108, 118; of single utah neonate just after aboveground parturition, 319; of 2–3-week-old offspring after transfer by white-tail mother to another burrow away from the home nursery-burrow, 393–394; of Wyoming ground squirrel carcass after interspecific killing by white-tail, 445–447, images 25.4 and 25.5
- abortion, 319, 393–394, 435, 495. *See also* pregnancy block; resorption
- aboveground copulations, 3, 7, 275, 286–293, 295, 297, 301, 466, figs. 15.9, 15.10, images 15.7, 16.2, table 15.1
- aboveground nursings, 334–343, 345–347, 348–349, figs. 18.1–18.9, images 18.1–18.4
- accessibility: of burrow entrances to members of same clan or coterie, 27–28, 43; to females, 199–200; to high-quality vegetation, 452; of juveniles to kill via infanticide, 389; to selfish herd effects, 100; of study-colony to researcher, 45–46, 73, table 4.1; to territory per individual, 174; to underground cached food, 31; to visual and vocal alarms, 106, 459, 462, table 26.1
- acclimation cage, to improve success of translocated prairie dogs, 484
- accuracy: from aboveground video cameras, 494; of assignments of maternity, 60, 227; of assignments of paternity, 60, 227, 493, 495; of behavioral observations, 65–66, 494; of clan and coterie membership from cohabitation, 48; of estimates for colony density and colony size, 203, 460; of dates of first juvenile emergences, 100–101; of dates of gestation and lactation, 319–321, figs. 17.2, 17.3; of dates of mating season, 265; of dates of parturition, 318; of detecting estrus and copulations, 262–263, 286–295; of different estimates of annual and lifetime reproductive success (ARS and LRS), 227; of different methods for aging prairie dogs, 68–69, 73–74; of estimates of immigration, 422; of estimates of kinship, 179; of estimates of polygyny, 368–369, figs. 20.1–20.8; of inter-year comparisons, 48; of kinship of foster offspring, 338–339, of maximal longevity, 213, table 11.1; of prairie dog life tables, 214, 216–217; of recreational shooting, 478; of results for infanticide, 392; of volume of milk from nursing, 331
- actuarial senescence, 202, 208–211, 213, 217, 220, fig. 11.6
- adaptation, defined, 6, 342, 391
- adult, defined, 7; includes yearlings, 7, 166, 203, 258, 438, fig. 14.14
- adult sex ratios: defined 355, 434, 161–162, 167–168, 203–204, 355–356, 361, 365, 368, 377, 434, 438, 440, 467–469, figs. 9.6, 11.1; and effect on frequencies of polyandry and polygyny, 356, fig. 19.5
- age: of alarm callers and listeners, 144, 148–149, 158; of dispersal 409; vs female annual reproductive success (ARS), 221–222, fig. 12.1; of first copulation, 42, 202, 206–209, 217, 225, 232, 263, 465, 467, 472; of infanticidal black-tail females, fig. 21.5; of infanticidal black-tail males, 396; of juveniles that nursed aboveground, 336, 343, 349; of juvenile victims of infanticide, 382; and life tables, 213–218; vs male annual reproductive success, 222, fig. 12.2; maternal vs juvenile sex ratio, 439; methods of determination of, 68–69; mosaic of, 220; of mothers that nursed aboveground 335–336, 343, 349; of sexual maturation, 42, 202, 206–209, 217, 225, 232, 263, 465, 467, 472; vs survivorship of males and females (actuarial senescence), 208–210, fig. 11.6; of victims captured by American badger, 110–111, figs. 6.1, 11.5, 11.10, 11.11, 18.3, 18.4, 22.3, table 3.2
- age-specific survivorship, 208–210, fig. 11.6
- aging: approximate, from molar attrition, 68–69, fig. 4.2; exact, from tracking of eartagged juveniles over time, 68; other methods of determining that usually involve injury, 68; mosaic of, 220
- aggression, 66–67, 211; as cost of coloniality, 76–77; frequency of, 77; injuries from, 76–77, 179; lost time and energy, 76; vs ward size, fig. 5.1, images 5.1–5.5
- agriculture, via “farming,” of prairie dogs, 29, 93
- alarm. *See* alarm call; visual alarm
- alarm bark. *See* alarm call
- alarm call: vs age of alarm caller, 149–150; vs age/vulnerability of nearby offspring, 149; alarm calling, 1–2, 5, 17–18, 132–159, 224, 497; alertness in response to, 135, images 8.3–8.7; and audience effect, 151; before and after first appearances of offspring aboveground, 147–148; vs body mass, 142; vs clan or coterie size, 150, fig. 8.12; costs and benefits of, 133; description of, as deterrent to predators, 94; dialects of, 136; for different predators, 154–158, image 8.7; direct fitness vs indirect fitness, 7, 133, 146–148, 389, figs. 8.8–8.10; and discriminative nepotism, 2, 144–146, 495, fig. 8.8; duration of, 136–137; to experimental badger vs real badger, 140–141, figs. 8.2–8.4; vs imminence/urgency of danger, 155, 160; and intersexual differences, 141–143, fig. 8.5; by juveniles, 137; vs litter size, 149; low frequency of, 138–139, 149, fig. 8.1; and mate-helping, 152; and nepotism, 2, 133, 142–144, figs. 8.6, 8.7; other names for, 134–135; and pink tongue, 137–138, images 8.3, 8.5; and quickness of giving, 94, figs. 5.8, 5.9; vs rate of calling, 155, 160; reliability of, 94; in response to predators vs nonpredators, 138; risk of giving alarm call, 133, 153; and self-preservation, 152–154; vs selfish herd effects, 150; in Slobodchikoff et al., 155–157; for snakes, 97–98, 157–158; spectrograms (sonograms) for, 32, 136; tail flicking, 137, image 8.6; ultrasonic, 136; variation of, among individuals, 132, 138–140, 159, fig. 8.1; ventriloquism, 138; as way to discriminate among species, 18; when another prairie dog was also calling, 151–152
- alertness. *See* vigilance
- Alexander, Richard D., xi, 47, 76, 91
- all clear call, 33, 158, 160, images 3.7, 3.8. *See also* territorial call
- all-day critical underground consortship (CUC), 269, 270, 276–279, fig. 15.5
- allele, 6, 7, 61, 144, 146, 174, 220, 225, 348, 389, 406–408, 422; paternal, 348, table 4.2

- allogrooming, defined, 68; 77, 83–84, 123, 179, 181, 187, 280, figs. 5.4, 15.12, image 9.3
- allonursing. *See* communal nursing
- alloparent/alloparenting, 186–187, 391, 440. *See also* cooperative breeding
- allozyme, 17, 60–63, 248, 293, 297, 352, 368–371, 375, 378, 407, 416, 468, 495, fig. 20.3, tables 4.2, 4.3, 22.3
- altitude, above sea level, 10–14, 17, 41, 254, 458, 465–466, table 3.2
- altruism, defined, 7. *See also* reciprocal altruism
- amalgamation, of coterie. *See* fusion
- amazement, 264, 269, 347. *See also* surprises
- American badger (partial listing), xi, 6, 25–26, 28, 30, 50, 71, 94–95, 98–99, 108–109; 133, figs 6.1, 8.1–8.15, table 6.1; ages of victims, 111; Barbara, 140–141, image 6.1; Becky, 110–113; figs 6.1–6.4; Beulah 110, image 6.1; different ways to capture prairie dogs, 111; hunting with coyote, 112–113, image 6.3; nocturnal predations, 112; number of Barbara's victims, fig 6.2; stuffed specimen on plastic sled, 133, images 8.1, 8.2, 8.7; time of day for predations, 111–112, fig 6.2
- American bison (bison, or buffalo), ix, 104, 138, 281, 319, 367, 435, 438, 475–477, 482–483, 489–490; often prefer prairie dog colony-sites, but sometimes destroy burrow mounds, 477; destroyed my livetraps, 477; sometimes chased Squaddies, 477; wallowing at colony-sites, 477; images 2.10, 27.1
- amicability, of behavioral interactions, 66–68, images 5.2–5.3, 9.2–9.3
- amuse, amusement, 8, 90, 91, 119, 478, 479. *See also* fun
- anal sniffing, perianal sniffing. *See* sniff
- ancestor. *See* common ancestor
- anesthesia, 54, 68, image 4.5
- annoyance, 3, 36, 91, 153
- annual fitness, of interspecific white-tail killers, 449–451, fig 25.5
- annual reproductive success (ARS), 206, 226–243; accuracy of different estimates, 227; vs age, 211, 215, 220–223, figs 12.1, 12.2; vs body mass, 39; vs center-edge effects, 100, 129; vs clan and coterie size, 172–176, 187–188, figs 9.11–9.13; vs clan and coterie type, 194, 198–201; vs colony size, 93, 128; for females, 228–229, figs 13.1, 13.2; vs flea counts, 37; vs hibernation, 32; vs interspecific killing, 6; vs life tables, 214–216; for males, 229–231, figs 13.5, 13.6; vs polyandry, 3, 119; vs reproductive senescence, 220–223, 225, figs 12.1, 12.2; vs reproductive synchrony, 101–102, 106; vs sample sizes and statistics, 70; three ways to estimate, 227, 242; vs vigilance, 128
- annual schedule (approximate), for black-tails, 47; for gunnisons, utahs, and white-tails, 47
- annual variation, in adult sex ratio, 356, fig 19.5; in annual reproductive success (ARS), 206, 208, 217, fig 11.4; in clan and coterie types, 195, 200, figs 10.1, 10.9; in colony and ward size, 169, 195, 206, fig 11.2; in dates of estrus and copulations, 265–268, figs 15.2–15.4; in demographic measures, 206, 208, 217, fig 11.4; in frequency of aboveground nursing, 336, fig 18.1; in frequency of infanticide, 382, 442; in frequency of predation and type of predator, 108; in interspecific killings (IKs), 451, fig 25.2; in issues of behavioral ecology studied in this book, 493; in juvenile sex ratio, 435; in number of clans and coterie, 206, fig 11.3; in number of weanlings, 206, fig 11.3. *See also* variation
- antipredator vigilance, 34, 43–44, 83, 98, 122–131, 187, 189, 414, 452, 456, 459, 462, 464–465, 472, image 7.1, tables 7.1, 26.1; leads to lower predation, 123, 128; and inability to discriminate between antipredator vs social vigilance, 129
- antisnake call, 97–98, 157–158
- Arapaho National Wildlife Refuge, xiii, 31, 35, 64, 73, 109, 164, 442, 444–445, 447–448, 487, figs 9.2, 25.3, image 2.10, tables 4.1, 25.1, 25.2, 25.3
- area: of clan and coterie territories, 164–166, 169–170, 188, 205, figs 9.3, 9.9; occupied by colonies, 46, 64, 92, 204–205, 460, table 4.1
- arizonensis*, 10, 18, 476, tables 3.1, 27.1
- arrogance, of John Hoogland, 443
- ARS, 226–243. *See also* annual reproductive success
- assignments of maternity, 60, 346, 374, 416, 495, fig 22.9
- assignments of paternity, 60–63, 293, 366, 368, 374, 416, 430, 495, fig 22.9, tables 4.2, 4.3
- assistants. *See* Prairie Dog Squad
- astonishment. *See* surprises
- asymmetry. *See* sexual asymmetry
- attention, to estrous female by males, 278–279, fig 15.12
- attractiveness: of colonies to predators, 76, 86; of colony-sites to ungulates and other organisms, 475–476, 482, 490, image 27.1; of males to females after mating call, 152, 299, 308, 312, image 16.1
- autogrooming, defined 83, 285, fig 5.4, image 9.3
- avoidance of incest, 3–4, 186, 198, 207, 377, 396, 403, 405–412, 419–416, 417–418, 424–425, 427, 429, 432, 459; due to male initiative, 414; four mechanisms of, 408–414, 425, figs 22.1–22.9, 26.1; via invasion of unrelated male into home territory, 353–354, 413–414, fig 19.3
- axioms of prairie dog research, 1, 263
- backhoe, 381–382, image 21.1
- badger. *See* American badger
- bag, for marking and handling, 54, images 4.5–4.9
- bald eagle, ix, 6, 95, 97, 109, 485, 489, image 5.11
- Barbara, live badger vs experimental badger, 140–141, figs 8.2–8.4
- bark. *See* alarm call; defense bark
- behavioral interactions, amicable and hostile, 67–68, 76–79, 162, 181–185, 193–194, 278–280, 491, figs 5.1, 9.18–9.20, 10.4, 15.12, images 5.1–5.3, 9.3; of estrus and copulation, 266–286, fig 15.12
- beneficiaries: of alarm calls, 132–133, 143–148, 152–154, 159–160, figs 8.6–8.13, images 8.1–8.7; of communal nursing, 331–333, table 18.1; of mating call, 298, 307–312, 315; of polyandry, 350, 356–359, 365
- benefits: of high body mass, 244–261; of coloniality, 91–106; of different sizes and types for clans and coterie, 161–201; of polyandry, 366–398
- benefits to humans, unexpected, from prairie dogs, 486–487
- Bernoulli's Principle, and underground ventilation, 23–24, fig 3.3
- BF. *See* bloody face
- biting, in response to bull snakes, 97
- Black Death, 83, 480, 487. *See also* plague
- black-footed ferret, ix, 6, 21, 25–26, 28, 45–46, 95–96, 109, 115, 118–119, 431, 463, 475–476, 481, 483, 489; prey almost exclusively on prairie dogs, 475, image 6.7, table 4.1
- black-tailed prairie dog (black-tail, BTPD), 10, images 2.1, 2.2, and throughout
- blockbuster discovery, 4, 392, 460
- blood: on face and claws, after infanticide, 381, 390, 400; near vulva, on day of parturition, 317, 328; removal of, by ectoparasites, 105
- blood samples, to determine paternity via allozymes or microsatellites, 63, 344, 416, image 4.5

- bloody face (BF), after infanticide, 381, 390, 400
- bluff charge, 67, 477
- bobcat, 6, 28, 50, 95–96, 113, 138, 156–157, 165, 431, 443, 485, 489, 494, images 5.8, 8.7, table 6.1
- body condition, 28, 37, 53–54, 79, 86, 91, 113, 120, 152, 233, 245, 291–292, 308, 319, 322, 328, 377, 380–381, 396. *See also* body mass
- body length, 9. *See also* body size
- body mass, 244–261; of adults and juveniles, 38–39; of adult females vs probability of weaning a litter and litter size, 246, figs 14.4, 14.5, image 14.2; vs age, 68, 211, 218, 220, figs 11.7, 11.8; annual effects, 245–261; vs annual reproductive success, 245; benefits of, 211; extremes, 38–39; vs juvenile survivorship, 39–40, 245–246, 324–326, figs 3.8, 14.1, 14.2, 17.6; juveniles vs 4–6 month olds, fig 14.3; juveniles vs litter size, 326–327, fig 17.7; sexual dimorphism, 255–261, fig 3.8; variation, 252–261, fig 14.18, image 14.1
- body size, 9, 17–18, 39, 68, 113, 245, 255–256, 259, 331. *See also* body mass
- bombshell, 364, 443, 450. *See also* surprises
- boundary, of clan or coterie territories, 34–35, 67, 116, 163–165, 431; breeding dispersal, defined 404; 405, 409–412, 414, 419, 426, figs 22.5–22.6, table 22.1
- bout, of alarm calling, 134
- breeding, cooperative. *See* cooperative breeding
- broken leg, livestock, from stepping into burrow. *See* leg, fractures
- brood parasitism. *See* conspecific brood parasitism (CBP)
- Bruce effect. *See* pregnancy block
- Bryce Canyon National Park, 31, 46, 64, 66, 72–73, 85, 91, 108, 119, 163, 424, 487, images 2.10, 4.15, tables 4.1, 5.1
- BTPD. *See* black-tailed prairie dog
- bubonic plague, 36, 83, 105, 407, 479–480, fig 3.9. *See also* plague
- burial: of other prairie dogs, 26, 67; of black-footed ferrets, long-tailed weasels, and snakes, 26, 96–97, 118. *See also* interment
- burrow entrance, 21; diameter, 21; vs colony density, 28
- burrowing owl, ix, 6, 108–110, 475–476, 481, 483, 489–490; response to prairie dog alarm calls, 148, image 6.2
- burrow mound, 22–24; and Bernoulli's principle, 24; functions, 24; types of, 22–24; permanence, 26; visibility, 86. *See also* dome crater; rim crater
- burrows, 21–29; “active,” 28; and behaviors that occur there, 21; burrow vs burrow entrance, 21; competition for, 1, 183, 188, fig 9.19; depth and length of, 21–22, fig 3.2; deterioration of, 26; dome crater, 22–24, 38, 477, 496, fig 3.3; easy for livestock to avoid, 481; excavation of, by American badger, 26, 31, 110–112; excavation of, by humans, to verify infanticide, 21–22, 381–383, 390, 392, images 3.2–3.4, 21.1; excavation of, by prairie dogs 23, 26–28, 31, 93, 224, 284–285, 391, 452, 476, 489, image 15.5; keystone effects, 475–476; latrine, 22; maternal defense, 387–388, 401, image 21.3; mortality within, 28, image 3.5; multiple entrances to, 24–26, fig 3.4, image 3.3; nesting sites for prairie dogs and many other species, 21, 475; as nursery burrows, 21; permanence, 26; plugging, 25–26, 67, 96–97, 118; rim craters, 23–24, fig 3.3, image 3.1; as refuge from predator or inclement weather, 268, 321; refurbishment of, 26–27, images 3.2, 3.4; scats (fecal pellets) within, 22; sharing of, 24, 27–28; switching during night, 20–21, 282; temperature within, 22; time spent there, 21; trails leading to, 22
- cameras, aboveground, to record behaviors, in combination with drones 114, 400, 493–495; expensive, require maintenance, and might fail at a crucial time, 494; miniature, for use on prairie dog heads to see into burrows, 494; trail, to record prairie dogs aboveground at night, 21, 110, 495
- cannibalism, defined, 4, 28, 29, 43, 92, 379–381, 390–391, 394–396, 401, 451, 471, images 3.5, 21.2, 21.4–21.6
- canvas conical marking bag (used for handling), 53–54, 68, images 4.5–4.9
- captivity, 42–43, 212, 220, 310, 319–320, 479, 483–485, 487, image 27.3
- carcass, 25, 28, 406, 471, 478; of mummified black-tail, 28, image 3.5; of prairie dog with eartags, 406; rare, 28; of Wyoming ground squirrel after interspecific killing, 29, 442, 445–447, 450, 454, 471, 478, 494, images 25.3–25.5, table 25.2
- cardinal rule, of livetrapping, 50, image 4.3
- castration of male prairie dogs, for conservation, does not work well, 482–483
- catch'em, mark'em, watch'em, 5, 40, 44, 73, 119, 203, 406, 420, 442, 457
- center-edge differences, 98–100, 115, 129, fig 6.4
- challenges, physical and psychological, 48
- chance. *See* luck
- character displacement, 442
- chase, defined, 67; 56, 76–79, 105, 166, 179–180, 193, 277–278, 280, 363–364, 388, 444, 451, 454, 487, 489, 496; cooperative, to deter small predators via mobbing, 94–98; costs, 76; vs ward size, figs 5.1, 25.1, image 5.3
- chirp, 136, 138, image 8.6. *See also* alarm call
- chorus, of alarm calls, 94; of territorial calls, 158
- chromosomes, 10–14, 17, 41, table 3.2; X-chromosome, 211
- clans (and coterie), defined, 2, 161–201, image 9.1; burrow entrances, 165; clan vs coterie, 162–164; clan size, 166–171, figs 9.4, 9.5; composition, 167, figs 9.6, 9.7; effective clan size, 459, 462, 470, table 26.1; evolutionary reasons for, 187–188, 189; longevity, 162–164, fig 9.2; territory and territory size, 164–166, figs 9.1, 9.3, image 9.1; precipitation, size of home territory, and time of year vs variation in clan size, 169–171, fig 9.8
- clan size (and coterie size), 19, 166–171, figs 9.4–9.8; vs clan density, 170–171, fig 9.9; vs clan type, 195–196; effective clan and coterie sizes, 459, 462, 470, table 26.1; vs female annual reproductive success (ARS), 172–173 (fig 9.11); vs female survivorship, 173, fig 9.12; vs male annual reproductive success (ARS), 175–176, fig 9.14
- clan types (and coterie types), 190–201, figs 10.1–10.9; vs clan size, 195, figs 10.2, 10.5; vs female annual reproductive success (ARS), 198–199, fig 10.7; half-male, 194–195; vs male annual reproductive success (ARS), 199–200, fig 10.8; multi-male, 193–194; no-male, 192; vs percentage of colony residents that were sexually mature males, 196–198, fig 10.6; reason for different types, 195–198; vs sex ratio of adults, 196–198, fig 10.6
- classification, of different species of prairie dogs, 9–15, 16–20, fig 3.1, table 3.1, images 2.1–2.9; of different types of inbreeding, 406–408, fig 22.9, tables 22.1, 22.3–22.5
- climate, vs conservation of prairie dogs, 480, 489; vs flea counts, 36, 43; vs vigilance, 126, table 7.1
- clipping, of vegetation, with incisor teeth, 10–14, 29, 30, 93, 463, 475–476, 482, image 3.6
- clothing, layers, worn in cold weather, 72, images 4.14, 4.15
- clumping, spatial and temporal, 100. *See also* reproductive synchrony
- clutch size. *See also* litter size
- Clutton-Brock, Tim H, xi, 457
- coefficient of genetic relatedness (“r”), calculation, tables 18.2, 21.1, 22.5. *See also* kinship

- coexistence, of killing and communal nursing, 492; of prairie dogs with livestock, 487
- cohabitation, in the same burrow at night, 48, 281–283, fig 15.14. *See also* co-submergence; underground consortship (UC); critical underground consortship (CUC)
- cohort-specific life table, 214–217, figs. 11.10, 11.11; other names, 214
- coloniality, benefits, 1, 91–106, figs 5.8–5.14, images 5.8–5.11; costs, 1, 76–91, 106, figs 5.1–5.7, images 5.1–5.7, table 5.1; by force, 92
- coloniality, costs, increased attractiveness of colonies to predators, 76, 86; increased awareness of colonies to predators, 93–95, 106, 128, figs 5.8, 5.9; within colonies, 1, 76, fig 5.1, images 5.1–5.3; increased competition, for male that gives mating call, 308; from conspecific brood parasitism (CBP), 88–89; increased conspicuousness, of colonies, 1, 76, 86, 91, 105; increased ectoparasitism within colonies, 1, 35–37, 77, 91, figs 3.5–3.6, 5.2–5.3, images 3.9, 5.6; increased incidence of disease, 76, 83–86, 105, image 3.9, table 5.1; misdirected parental care in colonies, 86–89; from mixing of young from different litters, 86–88, 91, 333, 347, fig 5.7, table 18.1; from polyandry, 89
- coloniality, by force, 92; reasons for evolution, 75, 91–106
- colony, 7; 29, 203–204; and annual variation in demographic measures, 206, fig 11.4; opportunities for high female and male annual reproductive success (ARS), 2; other names, 7, 29. *See also* coloniality
- colony density, calculation of, 64, 105, 203–205, 217, 460, fig 26.1, table 4.1
- colony rank and ward rank, 76–78, 81, 95, 99, 105, figs 5.1, 5.2, 5.8, 5.10; vs colony size, 76–77, 105
- colony size, and colony rank, 64; 77, 105, 203–205, 217, 460. *See many figures*
- colony size and ward size, defined, 64, 76 203; vs colony density, 204–206, fig 11.2; vs number of clans or coterie, 205, fig 11.2. vs production of weanlings, 205–207, fig 11.3; ranking of, 76
- color, of pelage, 9, 15, 17, 18, 57, 259, images 2.1–2.9; of tail, 9, 15, 17, 443, images 2.1–2.9; of vagina, 317–318
- combing, for fleas, 54; factors that affect, 36–37, 211, images 3.9, 4.7, 5.6
- comedy. *See* fun
- common ancestor, 177, 415–417
- common feeding area, of gunnisons, utahs, and white-tails, 163; 462
- common names, appendix A; for prairie dogs, 17
- communal nursing, defined 5, 331, 348; aboveground, 334–343, 345–347, 348–349, figs 18.1–18.9, images 18.1–18.4; age of mother, 335, 343, fig 18.3; age of nursing offspring, 336, fig 18.4; amount of milk dispensed, 331; beneficiaries, 331–333, table 18.1; co-existence with infanticide, 5, 345; common, 331; vs condition of mother regarding lactation, 331, 334; as cost of coloniality, 341–342; dates, 335, 343, fig 18.2; difficult to document, 331; dilution effect, 333, 341; duration of nursing, 336, 343, fig 18.6; explanations and hypotheses, 331–334, 342, tables 18.1, 18.3; following death of mother, 341; frequency, 330, 334, 343, 344, 346–347, figs 18.1, 18.8; inclusive fitness and indirect selection, 332–333, 341, 344, 348–349, tables 18.1, 18.2; indiscriminate altruism (nepotism), 348; vs infanticide for black-tails, 345; vs kinship of foster offspring, 331, 337, 340, 343, table 18.2; milk is expensive, 331, 348; lost milk, 347; most common in late afternoon, 336, fig 18.5; mothers as willing participants, 336; number of nursing juveniles at same time, 337, 343, fig 18.7; in other species of ground-dwelling squirrels, 345, 347, image 18.4; vs overcrowding, 331; own vs foster offspring, 338, 343, 496, table 18.2; parent-offspring recognition, 347–348; and paternal alleles, 348; proximate vs ultimate causation, 341–342; radioisotopes (radionuclides) to verify for black-tails, 344–345; by same mother in different years, 337; sex of juvenile utah beneficiaries, 334, 338; time of day, 336, 338, 343, fig 18.5; types, 331; underestimates, 346; underground, 334–335, fig 18.9; volume of milk dispensed, 331; vs willingness of foster mother, 331
- comparative research, ix, 364, 399, 457–458
- competition, as cost of coloniality, 76–78, fig 5.1, images 5.1–5.5; for estrous females among males 183, fig 9.20; among females regarding infanticide, 385, 401, fig 21.4; among females for nursery-burrows, 183, fig 9.19; incitation of male competition, by calls of estrous females 313; injuries, lost time and energy, reproductive suppression, 76–77; interspecific, 5–6, 29, 235, 242, 382, 441–455; in large clans and coterie, 175; among littermates for milk, 322–324, fig 17.4; local mate and local resource competition, 439–440; vs nepotism, 183–185
- competition, among prairie dogs; and adult sex ratio, 355; aggression and injuries, 76–77, fig 5.1; and alarm calls, 144, 148; and character displacement, 5, 442; vs clan type and coterie type, 195–196; within colonies, 491–492; and communal nursing, 5; and conspecific brood parasitism, 89; vs cooperation, 5, 430–432, 491–492; within cooperatively breeding species, 187; as cost of coloniality, 76–77, fig 5.1; difficult to quantify, 144, 148; and infanticide, 5, 380, 383, 389, 451, 492; interspecific involving white-tails and Wyoming ground squirrels, 5, 441–454, 459, table 26.1; and intrasexual sexual selection, 257; vs juvenile sex ratios, 438; vs kinship and nepotism, 179–185, 432, 491, figs 9.17–9.20, images 5.1–5.5, 9.2, 9.3; in large vs smaller colonies, 1; local mate and local resource, 439–440; male-male vs site of copulation, 466, 472; and mating calls, 307–308, 312–313; and misdirected parental care, 347; vs natal dispersal, 416, 424, 427, 430–431; in parent-offspring conflict, 326; in sperm competition, 276, 351–352, table 19.1; and social vigilance, 123, table 7.1
- competition between prairie dogs and livestock for food, 482–484, 489–490; concern for competition is legitimate, but often overrated, 482, 489–490; prairie dogs frequently colonize areas that have already been overgrazed by livestock, 482; removal of certain plants that are unsuitable for livestock to eat, 482, 489–490; in theory, large numbers of prairie dogs and livestock can co-exist, 482; vegetation at colony-sites is often more nutritious and more digestible for livestock, 482, 489–490
- complex, of nearby prairie dog colonies, 7, 481, 483
- composition, of colony, 203–204, 217, fig 11.1; of clans and coterie, 29, 162, 167–168, 188, figs 9.1, 9.6
- conception, 319, 351–351, 358–359, 365, table 19.1
- cones, in retina, 21
- confession, ix, 8, 89, 119, 283, 392, 419, 443, 457, 472, 481, 495
- confidence of paternity, vs number of males that copulated, 274–275; vs order of copulations, 304; vs reason for male to kill juveniles, 396
- conflict of interest, intersexual, regarding optimal clan or coterie size, 175–176, 188, figs 9.4–9.5, 9.11–9.12, 9.14; intersexual, regarding optimal clan or coterie type, 200–201, figs 10.7–10.9; intersexual, regarding optimal levels of monandry and polyandry, 361–362, 365, figs 19.1, 19.4, 20.1, 20.3; for Wyoming ground squirrels living at white-tail colonies, 452
- confusion, of predator caused by alarm call, 153

- conservation, 6, 474–490; battle we can win, 489; conservation status of all five species, 475, table 27.1; Endangered Species Act (ESA), 486; five steps to save from extinction, 483; focal areas, 475, 483–484, 487, 489–490; four reasons to conserve, 486–487; importance of large colonies, 481, 483–484; loss of suitable habitat, 407, 478, 483; love 'em or hate 'em, 487; politics and legislation regarding conservation, 485–486; poisoning, 6, 15, 19, 40, 45, 46, 73, 92, 407, 423, 474, 478–479, 482–483, 487, 489–490; of prairie dogs as keystone species, 475–478, 489–490, images 27.1, 27.2; as prairie dogs reproduce slowly, 481–482, 490; and six reasons for optimism, 488–490; translocations to start new colonies, 484–485, 490; urban colonies, 475, 484–485, 487, 490, images 27.5–27.6; vulnerability of small, isolated colonies, 481; and why ranchers dislike prairie dogs, 481–482; and why we should be concerned, 480–481. *See also* castration; competition; contraceptives; declines in prairie dog numbers; keystone species; plague; poisoning; ranchers
- consortship. *See* underground consortship (UC)
- conspecific brood parasitism (CBP), 76, 86, 88–89, 105, 394
- conspicuousness, of alarm callers, 133; of colonies to predators, 76, 86–87, figs 5.5, 5.6; of foraging prairie dogs, 122, 124–125, fig 7.1; of prairie dogs that hear alarm call, 153; of vocalizations, 86–87, figs 5.5, 5.6
- conspicuousness, visual and vocal, of prairie dog colonies, 1, 76, 86–87, 91, 98, 105, 123, 153, 306, 405, 459, 462, 481, figs 5.5, 5.6, table 26.1; of alarm caller, 133, 150, 153, images 8.4, 8.5; of male giving mating call, 306–307
- contagious vocalization, 33–34, 158; territorial call, image 3.8
- contraceptives for conservation, 482–483; no evidence for negative side effects, 483; including diethylstilbestrol and Gonacon, 483
- cooperation, of American badgers with coyotes, 112–113, image 6.3; vs competition, 5, 7, 427, 430–432, 491–492; among people for better conservation, 487; among philopatric mothers, 440; regarding possible subtitle for this book, 492; of young prairie dog males, 430. *See also* alarm calls; cooperative breeding; kin discrimination; nepotism
- cooperative breeding, 174, 186–187, 189
- copulation, defined, 7; copulatory success vs siring success, 370–371, figs 20.4, 20.5; costs, 3, 351, 362; diagnostic behaviors, 262, 266–286; does not yield offspring, 367, 370; implications, 263; injuries, from harassment by males, 295–296, 351; involving closely-related males, 367; over long distances or long periods of female sexual receptivity, 367; secretive, 367; underground, 3, 263, 266–294, figs 15.5–15.21. *See also* estrus; mating call
- copulatory plug, and possible functions, 281, 291–292
- copulatory success. *See* male annual reproductive success (ARS); lifetime reproductive success (LRS)
- copying of mate-choice, by females, 308
- correlation: for body masses from the same female and male adults at different stages of annual cycle, 254, 258, fig 14.14; for different estimates of annual and lifetime reproductive success (ARS and LRS) for the same males and females, 235–236, 238–241, figs 13.11–13.14, 370–373, figs 20.4, 20.5
- correlations, among different estimates of female ARS and LRS, reproductive success for females and males, 235–236, figs 13.11–13.14
- co-submergence, of male and female, into the same burrow at night, 48, 268–269, 276, 281–283, 296, fig 15.14. *See also* underground consortship (UC); critical underground consortship (CUC)
- coterie, 2, 161–201. *See also* clans
- costs, of reproduction, 120, 236–242; litter size in next year, 239–240, fig 13.17; probability of successful reproduction in the next mating season, 238–239, fig 13.16; probability of survivorship until the next mating season, 237–238, fig 13.15
- coterie type, 190–201. *See also* clan type
- coyote, ix, 6, 28, 50, 95, 96, 108–109, 112, 113, 138, 141, 154, 155–157, 165, 431, 443, 448, 484–485, 489, images 5.9 6.3, 6.4, 8.7, table 25.3
- crater. *See* dome crater; rim crater
- critical underground consortship (CUC), defined 270, 271, 274–280, 266–287, 290–293, 295–298, 301, 351, 368; vs insemination, 270, 276, 279, 287, 290–292, 296, 368; longer than typical underground consortship, 276; minimal and maximal lengths, 276, fig 15.9–15.10, 15.19–15.20, image 15.5
- cryptic female choice, 351–353, 355, 414
- critical aboveground copulation (CAC), 275, 277, 288, 290–293, 295, 297, figs 15.9, 15.18; duration, figs 15.10, 15.18; and insemination, 275, 277, figs 15.9, 15.10; starting time, 275, fig 15.9
- critical underground copulation (CUC), 270–276, 297; vs critical aboveground copulation (CAC), 275, 277, 288, 290–292, 295, figs 15.9–15.10, 15.18; duration, 276, fig 15.10, 15.18; and insemination, 270, 274, 276–279, 284, 287, figs 15.8, 15.10; starting time, 274–276, fig 15.9
- cubicle, for handling, marking watching prairie dogs, 53, 66
- cuckoldry, 7, 142, 276, 373–374, 469
- curious naturalists, ix, 18, 29, 130, 135, 203, 367, 421, 487, 491
- curvilinear relationship, 69, 203, 208–213, 217, 220–223, 225, 245, 310, 327–329, 438, figs 11.6–11.8, 12.1–12.2
- Cynomys*, 10–14, 17–18, 20, 40, 319, 457–460, 465–466, 470, 476, tables 3.1, 26.1, 26.2, 27.1
- daily routine, for Prairie Dog Squad, 20, 47–48, 66, 73, 118, 283, 293, 318, 322, 339, 368, 386, 392, 399, 442, 493
- dates, of estrus and copulation, 265, 268, fig 15.4
- dates, of gestation, lactation, and parturition, 316–324, 328–329, figs 17.2–17.4; of parturition vs estrus and copulation, 287, fig 15.17; of parturition vs weaning, fig 15.16
- death. *See* mortality
- declines in prairie dog numbers, 478–480, 490; global warming, 480; loss of habitat, 478; poisoning, 478, 487; plague, 479–480, 483; prairie dogs as pets, 479, 483, image 27.3; profits for ranchers who allow recreational shooting of prairie dogs, 478; recreational shooting and negative secondary effects, 478. *See also* conservation; plague; recreational shooting
- deer blind, for watching prairie dogs, 66
- defense, of foraging area 93, 187–189; of home clan/coterie territory, 35, 93, 116, 162, 165, 169, 179–184, 187, 189, 193–194, 224, 385, 389, 391, 396, 423, 431, figs 9.17–9.18; home nursery-burrow by mother, 294, 380, 387–391, image 21.3; against predators via mobbing, 94–99, 187, 189, 414, 492
- defense bark (black-tails only), 34–35, 43, 423
- deference, of estrus. *See* delay, of estrus
- definitions, clan size and coterie size, 166; colony density and colony size, 64, 203; common terms in this book, 6–8; gestation, 317; P-value, 70; polyandry, 351; polygynous mating system, 242, 367–368; terms for dispersal and inbreeding, 404, table 22.1
- delay, of breeding dispersal by males, 410–412, fig 22.5; of copulation with second male via mating call, 312; of critical underground consortship (CUC), 274; of date of first copulation, 207, 465, fig 11.5; of estrus, 103, 278–279, 294–295; of female annual reproductive success (ARS) by inclement weather, 234; of implantation, 319; of onset of mating season, 47, 265; of publication of results about kin-directed infanticide, 392

- DeltaDust, Deltamethrin, insecticide for killing fleas, 480
- demography, 5, 24, 202–261
- density, of colony or ward, calculation of, 64, table 4.1. *See also* colony density
- depression and discouragement of John Hoogland, 25
- design, evolutionary, 391
- DF. *See* dirty face
- diagnostic aboveground behaviors or evidence, of underground copulations, aboveground copulations, 286–291, images 15.7, 16.2, table 15.1; dates of parturition and weaning vs date of estrus, 286, figs 15.16, 15.17; impressions of research assistants, 294; nestbuilding on first day after estrus (black-tails only), 294; paternities from allozymes or microsatellites, 293–294, fig 15.21; vaginal lavages, 292–293, fig 15.20; vulvar examinations, 291–292, fig 15.19, image 15.8
- diagnostic aboveground behaviors, of underground infanticides, 381, 390–391, 400
- diagnostic behaviors, of underground communal nursing, 322; of copulations, 3, 266–286, 297–298; of infanticide, 380–382
- diet, 29–30
- differential nepotism. *See* discriminative nepotism
- dilution. *See* protection by dilution
- dimorphism. *See* sexual dimorphism
- direct fitness, defined, 7, 133, 146, 148, 160, 389; vs indirect fitness 389
- direct social learning, 186, 188, 347–348, 398, 418, 425; proximate vs ultimate factors, 418
- dirty face (DF), after infanticide, 381, 390, 400
- disadvantages. *See* costs
- disappointment, ix, 8, 89, 105, 263, 291, 472
- disappearance, dispersal vs mortality, 209, 406; timing, 209–210, 492–493
- discrimination, in communal nursing, by mothers, 341, 347–349; among nursery-burrows, by mothers, 341; between own and other males' offspring, by males, 348. *See also* parent-offspring recognition
- discriminative nepotism, 2, 134, 144–146; 160, 184–186, Ffig 9.19
- disease, 28, 83–86, 220, 382, 393, 424, 436, 479, 487, table 5.1. *See also* monkeypox; plague; tularemia
- dispersal, defined, 403–425, 426–432; ages of dispersers, 408–412, 421, 471, figs 22.1–22.5; to avoid incest, 425, 408–412; consequences for demography, gene flow, population dynamics, and other issues, 404, 492; vs cooperation and competition, 427, 430–432; costs and benefits, 423–424; factors that induce, 424; in groups, 418–420, 425; immigration, 421–423, fig 22.12; by juvenile prairie dogs, 424–425; later in year for male black-tails vs males of other three species, 397, 400, 492; local or intracolony vs long-distance or intercolony, 405, 419–421, 425; maximal distances documented, 420–421; more common in summer months than in winter months, 492; more common when zero close kin in natal territory, 426–430, figs 23.1–23.7; vs mortality, 406; natal vs breeding, 405; parallel, 419, 44, 31; possible to study with prairie dogs, 405; and predation, 115–116, 424 fig 6.3; vs predation, 115–116, 424; repercussions for inbreeding and outbreeding, 404; reproductive condition of female dispersers, 421; three stages, 404; time of year when dispersal most likely, 397, 421; when all close kin have disappeared, 4, 427, figs 23.1–23.7. *See also* natal dispersal
- disperser. *See* dispersal
- dispute. *See* territorial dispute
- distraction, via dishonest mating calls, 299–300; of predator by alarm call, 153
- distribution of prairie dogs, geographic, 18, fig 3.1
- disturbance, to prairie dogs from my research, 296, 386, 483, 494; efforts to minimize, 59, 119; from livetrapping, 48–53; from presence of nearby humans, 45–46, 59–69, 73, table 4.1
- diurnality, 20–21, 47
- diversity, genetic. *See* genetic diversity
- DNA, collection and storage of blood and ear samples, 17, 53–54, 63, 353, 375, 495; corruption, 63, 495, fig 19.1, image 4.5
- DNA fingerprinting, 352, 367
- dog-eat-dog world, 379, 391
- dome crater, 22–24, 38, 477, 496, fig 3.3
- dominance hierarchy, 150
- dormancy, 21. *See also* hibernation
- double estrus. *See* second estrus
- drone, in combination with video camera, to record hard-to-see behaviors, 494–495
- dumbfounded. *See* surprises
- dustbath, by gunnisons, 285–286, 289, 295–296, image 15.6, table 15.1; by American bison, 477, image 27.1
- dye, for marking prairie dogs. *See* Nyanzol fur dye
- ear sample for DNA, 54
- eartag, National, for marking prairie dogs, 56, image 4.8; Ritchie, for marking burrow-entrance, 63, images 3.6, 4.2, 4.3
- eartagging, 56–57; loss of eartag, 56, image 4.8
- eavesdropping, of prairie dog alarm calls, 110, 148, 452–453; of Wyoming ground squirrel alarm calls by white-tails, 148, 452–453
- ectoparasites, 35–37, 77–83, 285. *See also* ectoparasitism
- ectoparasitism, 35–37; age effects, 36–37; animal counts vs burrow-counts, 80; autorooming and allogrooming 83, 105, fig 5.4; vs coloniality, 77–83, figs 3.5, 5.2, 5.3; vs colony rank, 81, figs 5.2, 9.3; counting and collecting, 34–37; detrimental effects (costs), 83; factors that affect, 36, 80, 211; freedom from ectoparasites 298, 308, 315; precipitation effects, 37; seasonal effects, 36; sexual variation, 36, 211, images 3.5, 3.9, 4.7, 5.6; transmission among prairie dogs, 83, 105, 205; variation in infestation 35, 36. *See also* ectoparasites; fleas
- education, legislation, and politics regarding conservation, power of, 485–486
- effective clan and coterie sizes, 459, 462, 470, table 26.1
- ejaculates, variation in quality and volume, 376–377
- ejaculation, 276. *See also* copulation; insemination
- embarrassment, 392, 419
- emergent juvenile, defined 7; also called weanling. *See also* first emergence of juveniles from natal nursery-burrow
- emigrant and emigration, 404, 406, 421, 423, 424, 427, table 22.1
- endangered species, 40, 41, 46, 481, 476, 479, 481, 483, 485–489, tables 3.2, 4.1, 27.1
- Endangered Species Act (ESA), advantages and disadvantages of listing for prairie dogs via ESA, 485–486; multi-species proposal, 486
- endoparasites, 35, 43, 211
- entrance. *See* burrow entrance
- enzootic, plague, 479. *See also* plague
- epidemic, of plague, 37, 83, 479. *See also* epizootic
- epizootic, of plague, 37, 83, 85, 92, 478–480, table 5.1. *See also* plague
- equilibrium, of juvenile sex ratios, 433–434
- errors, in my research, 25, 37, 61, 63, 165, table 4.2
- escorting, of snake away from colony, by prairie dogs, 97–98
- estrus, defined 7, 263; delay of, 294, 301; diagnostic behaviors, 3–4, 8, 266–286; vs father-daughter incest, 412, fig 22.6; first

- documentation, 3, 266; during mating season, 263, 265–266, fig 15.2; evidence for accuracy of detection of estrus, 286–294, 297; length, 3, 262; and menopause, 223–224; no estrus, 207, 412, 425; obstacles for detection of, 294–295; other names, 263; vs pseudo-estrus, 265; and reproductive synchrony, 101–104; second or third estrus for a few females, 264, 319, fig 15.1; several hours of single day per year for prairie dogs and other squirrels, 3, 61, 183, 192, 262, 264, 296, 363–364, 372, 396–397, 472, 482, table 4.2; tight linkage with mating call, 299–30. *See also* copulation
- eusocial, eusociality, 186, 187
- eviction, from territory, 67, 116, 169, 172, 174, 393
- evolved function, vs fortuitous effect, 104–105, 156, 355, 414, 476
- evolution, definition, 6; of alarm calls, 133–134, 142–143, 146, 148, 160; of character displacement, 442; of clans and coteries, 93, 188; of coloniality, 32, 45, 92–93, 105; of communal nursing, 341; of mating systems, 469; of reproductive synchrony, 105; of resistance to plague, 479
- evolutionary design, 187, 391
- evolutionary resolution, for intersexual conflict regarding optimal size and type of clans and coteries, 175, 188, 200–201; regarding intersexual conflict regarding optimal level of polyandry, 361–362, 365
- excavations by American badgers, humans, and prairie dogs. *See* burrows
- exhaustion, of maternal resources for lactation, 323; of Squaddies, 339, 493
- excitement, 118, 156, 231, 390, 427, 464, 493–494
- expansion, of colony-site, 46, 92, 386, table 4.1
- experience. *See* parental experience
- experiments with moving stuffed badgers, 94, 140; results vs responses to real badger, 140–141, images 8.1–8.4
- experiments, with radio-isotopes to document underground communal nursing, 340, 344–347, 349, fig 18.9, table 18.2
- external genitalia, 54, 56
- extinction, of clans and coteries, 174–175, fig 9.13; of prairie dog species, 40, 46, 476, 479, 481, 483, 488, tables 3.2, 4.1, 27.1
- extinction, danger for prairie dog species, 40, 41, 46, 481, 476, 479, 481, 483, 485–489, tables 3.2, 4.1, 27.1
- extreme inbreeding. *See* inbreeding; incest
- failure, to capture gunnisons with oats, 49; of cuckolded males to recognize offspring, 186; to detect every copulation, 368; to detect every infanticide, 399–401; to detect subtle switching of burrows, 20; to discriminate between failure to conceive and early abortion, 319; of early research with Wyoming ground squirrels, 1, 496; to explain so many disappearances, 492; of PIT tags, 57; of young black-tail females to come into estrus to avoid incest, 412
- fallow farming, 93
- false alarm calls and false visual alarms, 94, 98, 138, 152
- familiarity, 186, 418, 425; proximate vs ultimate factors, 418
- Famous Fugitives, 53
- farming, 29, 93
- father-daughter incest, avoidance, 377, 403, 409–412, 415, 425; factors that affect, 412, figs 22.5, 22.6, table 22.5
- fecal pellets, aboveground 22, 28, collection of, 320–321, 344, 349; for determining diet, 29; within burrows, 22
- feces. *See also* fecal pellets; scats
- fecundity, 213, 377. *See also* litter size; annual reproductive success (ARS); and lifetime reproductive success (LRS)
- Federal List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants (FLETPW), advantages and disadvantages of listing for prairie dogs, 476, 485–486, table 27.1
- female, adult gunnison, that acted like male, 54–56
- female choice, of foster offspring to nurse, 338, 343–344, 348–349, 459, 467, fig 18.9, tables 18.2, 18.3, 26.1; of mates, 257, 416, 432; of sperm, 351–353, 355, 414; of victims for infanticide, 389–390, fig 21.7
- female reproductive success. *See* annual reproductive success; lifetime reproductive success
- ferret. *See* black-footed ferret
- fertility. *See* fecundity
- fertilization, 7
- feticide, 394
- fetus, 2; of gunnison, 64–65, fig 4.12
- fiber optics, to see into prairie dog burrows, 493–494
- field assistants. *See* Prairie Dog Squad
- field season, beginning and end, length, 47
- fight, 67; 179, 193, 388, 438; costs, 77–78; vs ward size, fig 5.1, images 5.1, 5.4, 5.6
- final submergence, adult, into burrow at dusk, 20, 47; why important, 48
- final submergence at end of day, 47–48, 281–283
- Fipronil, added to bait to kill fleas, 480
- first emergence, adult, in morning, 47–48, 281–283; why important, 48
- first emergence of juveniles from natal nursery-burrow, 7, 13, 24, 39, 42–43, 210, 246–247, 257, 261, 316, 324–329, 336, 367, 409, 424, 434–435, 470, figs 5.7, 11.6, 14.1–14.3, 14.16, 17.4–17.7, 18.4, 22.1–22.3, 23.1–23.7, 24.1–24.4, images 2.7, 2.9, 17.1–17.2, 27.3, table 22.2; vs date of mother's estrus and copulation(s), 286, fig 15.16
- fission, of coteries and clans, 171–172, 186, 188, 205, fig 9.10
- fitness. *See* direct fitness; inclusive fitness
- flannel, white, for counting fleas in burrows, 77, 80, image 5.6
- flaring, of tail during territorial dispute, 67, images 2.9, 5.2
- fleas, animal counts vs burrow-counts, 80; detrimental effects, 83, 105; methods of collection, 54, 77–80, images 4.7, 5.6; vs colony rank, 81, fig 5.2.; species, 35–36; among prairie dogs, 76, 105, 285; as vectors of plague, 36–37, 51, 80, 83, 85, 105, 478–481, 486–487, image 3.9, tables 4.1, 5.1. *See also* ectoparasitism; ectoparasites
- flicking of tail, by black-tails during alarm call, 137, image 8.6
- flooding, of burrows, 24–43
- focal areas,, defined 483; for conservation of black-tails 475, 483–484, 487, 489–490; for the other four species, 484
- focal sample to estimate vigilance, defined, 123, 122–130
- food item for humans, 42
- foot-thumping, in response to snakes, 97–98, 157–158
- foraging, 29, 34, 64, 86, 93, 115, 121, 123, 125, 136, 163, 180, 187–189, 254, 257, 267, 278, 300, 318, 363, 391, 444–445, 451, 461, 475–477, 482. *See also* social facilitation of foraging
- forb, defined, 7; 29, 476, 482; forced coloniality, 92
- forced copulation, 295, 351, 354
- fortuitous effect, vs evolved function, 104–105, 156, 355, 414, 476
- fossil, 17
- foster mother and foster offspring, defined 331; 186, 320, 322, 330–350, 431, 467, figs 18.3, 18.7–18.9, images 18.2–18.4, tables 18.1–18.3; as willing participant in communal nursing, 331, 336, 342, 365
- foster offspring, defined, 5, 105, 186, 330–350, 431, 467, figs 18.3, 18.7–18.9, images 18.2–18.4, tables 18.1–18.3

- freeze-branding, to permanently mark prairie dogs, 59
- frequency, of communal nursing, 331, 334–336, 338–339, 343–345, 348, 456, 459, figs 18.1, 18.8, tables 18.1, 18.2, 26.1
- frequency, of different types of infanticide, 4 379, 380, 382–385, 388, 400–401, 456, 459, 469–470, 473, 492, figs 21.1, 21.7, tables 21.1, 26.1
- frequency-dependent natural selection, 434, 437
- fun, funny, ix, 29, 90–91, 98, 110, 184, 231, 263, 278, 314, 398, 477–478, 481, 487, 496, image 27.6
- fur. *See* pelage
- fur dye. *See* Nyanzol fur dye
- fusion, of clans and coteries, 171–172, 188, 205
- gallivanting, 169–170, 354, 366, 372–373, 378
- gallstones, which occur in captive prairie dogs, 486–487
- genetic and developmental defects, 322, 382, 436, 468
- genetic diversity, 351–352, 422, 468, table 19.1
- genetic relatedness. *See* kinship
- genitalia, external, 7, 54, 56
- genital licking, 279–281, 286–287, 289, 292, 295–296, 300, fig 15.13, image 15.1, table 15.1
- geographic ranges, 9–43, fig 3.1
- gestation, defined, 317; vs litter size at weaning, 322, fig 17.4; 41, 71, 104, 152, 198, 265, 268, 286, 316–318, 319–320, 322–323, 328–329, 456, 459, 466, 472, figs 15.4, 17.2, 17.4, tables 3.2, 26.1. *See also* pregnancy
- global warming, as threat to conservation of prairie dogs, 480
- golden eagle, ix, 6, 48, 50, 95, 97, 114, 120, 138, 154, 157, 380, 436, 448, 478, 485, 489, image 5.10, table 6.1, 25.3
- gotta love, 274, 282, image 15.3
- GPD. *See* Gunnison's prairie dog
- GPR (Ground Penetrating Radar), to make three-dimensional images of burrows and burrow entrances, 494
- GPS (Global Positioning System), to calculate physical area of colony sites and to map burrow entrances, 46, 64, table 4.1
- grandmother hypothesis, 223
- Graphpad Prism Graph, 69
- grass, as food, 444, table 25.1; as nest material, 3, 21–22, 27, 89–90, 284–285, 294, 391, fig 15.15, images 5.7, 15.5
- grazing at colony-sites by American bison and cattle, 474–476, 489, image 27.1. *See also* overgrazing
- grooming. *See* autogrooming; allogrooming
- group-defense, of area for foraging, 93, 99, 187–189
- group-living. *See* coloniality
- guarding, of estrous female by male, defined, 2, 21, 103, 116, 121, 123, 128, 271–272, 274–275, 278, 301, 359–361, 365, 373, 469; vs confidence of paternity and order of copulations, 275–276; effectiveness, 271–272; flexibility in expression, 272–276; Herculean task, 360; vs increased probability of predation, 116; images 15.2, 15.3; vs polyandry, 359–360
- Gunnison's prairie dog (GPD, gunnison), 11, images 2.3, 2.4, and throughout
- habitat, shortage of, 76, 91–92, 105, 174, 187
- half-male clan or coteries, 190–201, figs 10.1, 10.2, 10.6–10.9; vs clan and coterie size, 195, 200–201, fig 10.2; vs female annual reproductive success (ARS), 198, 201, fig 10.7; vs male annual reproductive success (ARS), 199–201, fig 10.8; vs number of sexually mature males in colony, 196, 200–201, fig 10.6
- half-sibling, defined, 4, 144, 146, 159, 176, 188, 338, 340, 343, 348–349, 387, 390, 401, 404, 415–416, 418, 425, tables 18.2, 22.1, 22.5; versus direct social learning, 186; maternal half-sibling, 144–145, 399, 467; paternal half sibling, 186, 348, 398–399, 401, 430, fig 21.9
- Hamilton, William D, xi, 4, 144, 147, 427–428
- handling and sexing, 35–39, 53–56, 80–82, 317–318, 328, 480; mortality, 54, figs 3.6, 3.7, 3.8, 5.3, images 4.4–4.9
- hantavirus, 85
- harassment, of predators. *See* mobbing
- harem. *See* clans (and coteries)
- harem-polygyny, harem-defense-polygyny, 41, 175, 187, 188, 189, 193, 196, 373, 423, 458, table 3.2
- heat. *See* estrus
- height, of molar cusps, 68–69, 73, fig 4.2; of prairie dog, 9; of vegetation at colony sites, 17, 92, 463–464, 466, 475
- helper, helping behaviors, 186–187, 391, 440
- herbivorous, herbivory, 1, 16–17, 29, 30, 43, 86, 92, 105, 233, 395, 443, 445, 471, image 21.5
- heterosis, or heterozygote advantage, or overdominance, 468
- heterozygosity, 80, 406, 468
- heritability, of clutch size, 327; of infanticide, 399; of interspecific killing, 449
- hibernation, 10–14, 17, 21, 26, 30–33, 38, 41–43, 142, 184, 205, 210, 251, 444, 487, table 3.2
- hiding, from aggressive males while in estrus, 278; 463
- Hoogland, Judy, v, xi, xiii, 1, 4, 25, 53, 67, 496
- hostility, in behavioral interactions, 67–68, 162, 171, 179, 183, 185, 188, 193–194, 280, 364, 423, figs 15.12, 19.14
- humanoid, 42, 119
- immigrant and immigration, defined, 7–8, 421–422; aggression from long-term residents, 423; costs and benefits, 423–424; estimates highly accurate for three species of prairie dogs, 422, 425; factors that induce, 424; four types, fig 22.12; important for conservation and genetic diversity, 422; by juvenile prairie dogs, 442; vs predation, 115–116, 424, fig 6.3; rare for three species, 422, 425; time of year when immigration most likely, 397, 421
- inbreeding, defined, 404, table 22.1; extreme 3,4 (*see also* incest); levels of, 406–408, fig 22.9, tables 22.3–22.5; moderate, 4, 416–418; costs and benefits, 406–408, 425. *See also* outbreeding
- inbreeding depression, defined, 406; lack of evidence for prairie dogs, 406–408, 415, 425, tables 22.3, 22.4
- incarceration, of black-footed ferrets, long-tailed weasels, and snakes, 26; of estrous female by male that copulated, 271, 274, 360, image 15.3; of lactating female to study infanticide, 381
- incest, defined, 3, 4, 45, 404, table 22.1; frequency, 416, fig 22.9, tables 22.3–22.5; *See also* avoidance of incest; inbreeding depression
- incitation, of male-male competition, by vocalization of estrous female, 313
- inclusive fitness, defined, 7; 174, 223, 332–333, 341, 344, 348–349, 390, 451, 467, table 18.1
- independence, statistical, of observations, 71, 128–129, 461, figs 7.3–7.4, 26.1
- indirect fitness, 7, 133, 389, 467; vs direct fitness, 389
- individual alertness. *See* vigilance
- induction, of ovulation, 103, 310
- infanticide, defined, 4, 379; 379–402; 469–470, 497; absent among white-tails, 382; accessibility of juvenile victims, 389; age of male killers, 396; age of victims, 382, 401; annual reproductive success (ARS) of killers vs nonkillers, 391–392, table 21.2; backhoe, 381–382, 392, image 21.1; benefits, 390–391; bloody face and dirty face (BF and DF), 381, 390, 400; vs body mass of killer, 389,

- 401, fig 21.7; and cannibalism, 380–381, 390–391, 394, 396, 471, images 21.2, 21.4–21.6; vs colony age, 386; vs colony size and colony density, 383–385, 401, figs 21.1–21.1; common at three colonies, 4–5, 382–383, 386–387, 469–470, 495, tables 26.1, 26.3; competition as cause, 5, 383; costs, 389–390; delayed publication, 392; vs density and size of clans and coterie, 385, 401, fig 21.4; difficult and easy to study among prairie dogs, 380–381, 392, 400; disastrous cost of coloniality, 91, 380; discrimination by male of own vs alien offspring, 398; double infanticide, 390; vs effective clan and coterie densities, 470; excavation by humans to verify 381–382, 392, image 21.1; factors that affect frequency, 380; by female black-tail immigrants, 393; filial, difficult to detect, 380, 393–394; frequency, 4–5, 382–383, 386–387, 401, 469–470, tables 26.1, 26.3; improves condition of victimized mother, 396; increased foraging area, 391; by invading males, 394–399; vs kinship and residency of victims, 387–388, 396–399, 401, figs 21.6–21.9, tables 21.1; licking of front claws (LFC) 381, 390, 400; loss of own litter while killing another litter, 390; by male that copulated with mother, 397–398, fig 21.9; maternal defense, 387, 401; missed cases of infanticide, 399–400; more helpers, 391; in multi-male clans and coterie, 396; of neonates, images 21.4, 21.5; nonparental, 380; vs overcrowding at colony-sites, 386; pregnancy block, 394; removal of future competitors, 390, 401; vs residency of male killers, 397, 401, fig 21.8; response of mother to marauder, 387–388; rubbing of face in dirt (RFD), 381, 390–391, 400; serial killers and serial victimized mothers, 399; vs sex of killer, 385–386, 394, 401, fig 21.3, images 21.4–21.6; sex of victims, 393; small sample sizes, 391; sustenance via cannibalism, 390–391, 396, 401; underground, 381–382, images 21.1–21.6; usually rare, but sometimes common, 382; variation across years, 386, figs 21.1, 21.2; victimized mothers were less likely to kill, 391; vs vulnerability of different mothers, 399; whole-litter vs partial-litter, 387, fig 21.5; why not kill juvenile nonkin rather than kin? 389, fig 21.7; why not kill more distant juvenile kin rather close kin? 389–390, fig 21.7
- indiscriminate altruism or indiscriminate nepotism, 144, 348
- infusions of burrows with insecticide called Pyreperm, to kill fleas, 85, table 5.1
- injuries, from aggression, 76–77, 105, 179, 363; from copulation, 295–296, 351; from ectoparasites, 35–36; from freeze-branding, 59; from some methods of aging, 68, 73, image 5.1. *See also* scars
- insemination, defined, 7; 79, 120, 265, 270, 274–279, 284, 287–288, 290–292, 296, 301, 310, 313, 351, 367–368, 374, 469, 495. *See also* copulation
- instantaneous sample, to measure vigilance. *See* scan sample
- insurance, against extinction of clan or coterie, 174
- interference with copulations, by females, 291; by males, 290, 466
- interment (burial), of other prairie dogs, 26, 67; of black-footed ferrets, long-tailed weasels, and snakes, 26, 96–97, 118
- intersexual conflict of interest. *See* conflict of interest
- interspecific differences and similarities, 456–473; all four species belonged to same genus, 471; in colony sizes and colony densities, 460–461, 472, fig 26.1; cuckoldry, 469; most data from single colony-sites for 3 of 4 species, 45, 80, 109, 164, 266, 268, 334, 420, 425, 461, 465, 470, 472, 493, figs 9.2, 15.2, 15.4, 18.1, 26.1, 26.3, table 6.1; effective clan and coterie sizes, 459, 462, 470, table 26.1; four factors that help to explain, 458–462; habitats similar across species, 471; infanticide, 459, 469–470, table 26.1; vs polyandry and adult sex ratio, 468–469; vs polyandry and colony density, 468; vs polyandry and genetic diversity, 468; vs polyandry and production of yearlings, 467–468, figs 19.6, 19.7; vs polyandry and reproductive synchrony, 469; role of altitude, ecology and visibility within habitat, 458–460; role of cumulative time per day spent in home territory, 460–462, table 26.2; role of phylogeny, 458; role of polyandry, 467–469, figs 19.1–19.4; similarities often more evident than differences for many issues in behavioral ecology, 456, 458, 471–473
- interspecific killings (IKs), of Wyoming ground squirrels (WGSs) by white-tails, 5–6, 14, 29, 71, 235, 242, 382, 441–456, 459, 471–473, 493–494, 496, figs 25.1–25.5, images 25.1–25.7, tables 25.1–25.2, 26.1; alert to other behavioral ecologists, 453, 455; cannibalism after kill, 446–447, image 25.6; conflict of interest for WGSs living within white-tail colonies, 452; description of IK, 6, 444–446, 454; first documentation, 442–443; four defenses of WGS mothers against IKs, 454, 451–453, image 25.7; higher annual and lifetime reproductive success (ARS and LRS) for killers vs nonkillers, 449–451, 454, 496, fig 25.5; hypotheses that might explain, 450–451, 453; more common than killings by predators, 6, 441, 454; more common when competitors are not evenly matched, 442; most common killers were lactating females, 447–448, 454; most common victims were WGS juveniles, 447–448, 454; need for more research, 451, 453; not considered predation, 447–448; observed vs estimated, 445–446, 454, fig 25.3; scavenging of kills by crows, gulls, and ravens, 446, 454, image 25.5, table 25.2; serial killers, 6, 449, 454, fig 25.4; similar diets of WGSs and white-tails, 6, 444, 454, table 25.1; why only white-tails show interspecific killing, 471
- intraspecific brood parasitism. *See* conspecific brood parasitism
- intraspecific variation, 36, 38, 80, 179, 191, 195, 205, 258–259, 400, 457, 467–468, 472, 493
- investment. *See* parental investment
- isolated single prairie dog, absence, rarity, and vulnerability of, 92, 105
- jackhammer, 24, fig 3.2
- jaw, movement during alarm call, 98, 137
- JLP (Just Like People), 42
- jump-yip, of black-tails (and mexicans too?), 32–34, 42, 97, 158, images 3.7–3.8. *See also* territorial call
- juvenile, defined, 7; body mass at first emergence (approximate weaning), 39–40, 245–246, 324–326, figs 3.8, 14.1–14.2, 17.6; and litter size, 324, fig 17.5; usually do not give alarm calls 137; vulnerable to predation, 115, fig 6.3
- juvenile sex ratios, usually about 50–50 for offspring, 433–436, fig 24.1; vs adult sex ratios, 437–438, fig 24.3; factors that can affect juvenile sex ratios, 433–434; 439–440; at first emergence from natal nursery burrow, 227, 434; frequency-dependent natural selection, 434, 437, fig 24.3; vs litter size, 436–437, 439, figs 24.2, 24.4; vs local mate competition, local resource enhancement, and local resource competition, 439–440; vs maternal age, 438–439; vs maternal body mass, 438; nonsupporting data for theories about juvenile sex ratios, 440; and parental investment, 435–437; vs paternal reproductive success, 455; primary, 434; no significant annual variation, 435; at termination of parental care, with ways and times to adjust, 434–436
- keystone species, defined, ix, 6, 8, 85, 474–476, 485–487, 489–490; alarm calls warn both prairie dogs and other species, 110, 148, 443, 452–453, 476, images 6.2, 25.1; and effects of their burrows, 476; clipping creates open habitats for many species, 475; prairie dogs reproduce slowly, 481–482; seven reasons why prairie dogs are keystone species, 475–478; status improves opportunities for conservation, 477; vegetation at colony-sites is often more nutritious, for American bison, 474, 482, 489, images 27.1, 27.2; especially worthy of conservation, 475. *See also* conservation

- killer. *See* marauder
- killing, of ground squirrels, 5–6, 382; 441–455. *See also* interspecific killing (IK)
- kin discrimination or kin identification or kin recognition, 89, 186, 188, 347–348, 398, 418, 425. *See also* amicability, of behavioral interactions; behavioral interactions; communal nursing; direct social learning; infanticide; kinship; parent-offspring recognition
- King, John (Jack), 25, 57, 158, 496–497
- kin selection, defined, 7, 179, 198, 428. *See also* inclusive fitness
- kinship, vs alarm calling, 143–148, 158–160; vs amicability of interactions, 179–185, 188, 193, figs 9.17, 9.18, 10.4; calculation of coefficient of genetic relatedness (r), tables 18.2, 21.1, 22.5; within clans and coteries, 176–179, 188, figs 8.6–8.11, 9.15, 9.16; vs communal nursing, 338–341, 343–345, 348–349, figs 18.7–18.9, images 18.1, 18.2, tables 18.2–18.3; vs competition, 179–186, figs 9.17–9.20, images 9.2–9.3; vs fissions of black-tail coteries, 171–172, 188, fig 9.10; from microsatellites alone, 179; vs victims of infanticide, 386–390, 397–399; 401–402, figs 21.6, 21.9, table 21.1
- kiss, 1, 68, 179, 180, 193, 280, 487, 488–489, figs 10.4, 15.12, images 9.2, 9.3, 27.6
- kleptotrichy, 91
- laboratory research, for more accurate assignments of maternity and paternity, 494–495; for breeding of prairie dogs, 479, image 27.3; for analyzing prairie dog blood and tissue samples, 61; to develop gallstones in prairie dogs, 486; to document infanticide vs overcrowding, 386; to document multiple paternity and polyandry, 352; and frequency dependent selection on sex ratio for certain organisms, 437; kin recognition and possible self-referential matching of phenotypes, 186, 188, 396, 418; mating call of certain captive rodents, 310; and possible corruption of DNA, 63, 495; and possible resistance of prairie dogs to plague, 479; and pregnancy block, 394; for rearing black-footed ferrets, 45; to study abortion and uterine litter size, 495; to study litter size at birth, 39, 324; to study physiological details of reproduction, 310; to study reproductive senescence, 220
- Lack's hypothesis, 236, 238, 326–329, figs 13.11, 17.7
- lactation, length, defined, 317; vs litter size at weaning, 322–324, fig 17.4; vs parent-offspring conflict, 28, 40, 41–42, 67, 71, 90, 104, 142, 147, 152, 180–185, 188, 198, 207, 247, 250, 257–258, 268, 286, 317, 319–324, 326, 328–329, 334, 376, 390, 393, 397, 434, 456, 459, 466, 470, 472–473, figs 9.17–9.19, 15.4, 17.3–17.4, tables 3.2, 26.1. *See also* communal nursing; nursing
- Lady Clairol, for marking prairie dogs, 57
- late final submergence, of estrous female, 48, 281–283
- latrine, within burrow, 22
- laughing bark, of utahs and white-tails. *See* territorial call
- lavage. *See also* vaginal lavage
- layers of clothing worn in cold weather, 72, images 4.14, 4.15
- lead poisoning, of scavengers of shot prairie dogs, 478
- learning. *See* direct social learning
- leg, fractures, 481, 486, 489
- length, of adult prairie dogs, 9; of burrows, 21; of tail of adults, 9–14
- Leucocrossurumys*, 11, 13–14, 17–18, 319, 458–460, 465–466, 470, tables 3.1, 26.1, 26.2
- LFC. *See* licking, of front claws
- lice, 35–37, 41, 54, 77, 181, fig 9.3, table 3.2
- licking, of front claws after infanticide (LFC), 381, 390–391, 400. *See also* genital licking
- lifespan. *See also* longevity
- life table, types, 5, 207, 213–218, 465, figs 11.9–11.11, image 11.1, table 11.1. *See also* *lxcx*; *lxm*
- lifetime fitness, of interspecific white-tail killers, 449–451, fig 25.5
- lifetime reproductive success (LRS), 226–243; accuracy of different estimates, 227; for females, 228–231, figs 13.3, 13.4; vs final age and longevity for females, 235, fig 13.9; vs final age and longevity for males, 235, fig 13.10; for males, 229–235, figs 13.7, 13.8; ways to estimate, 227, 242
- lightning, 66, 477
- likelihood of paternity (LOP), 61–63, 293, 495, tables 4.2, 4.3
- listing of prairie dogs on Federal List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants (FLETWP), advantages and disadvantages of listing for prairie dogs, 485–486
- littermate sibling, 2, 4, 60, 144–146, 160, 340, 419, 427–428, 431
- litter size, at birth, 227, 322, 324; vs age 221–222, fig 12.1; vs female annual reproductive success (ARS), 326–329, figs 13.11, 17.7; at first emergence from natal nursery burrow (approximate weaning), 324, fig 17.5; vs gestation, 322, fig 17.4; vs Lack's hypothesis, 326–329, figs 13.11, 17.7; vs lactation, 322–324, fig 17.4; after partial litter infanticide; uterine, 64–65, fig 4.1, image 4.12; as predictor of lifetime female ARS, 326–329, figs 13.11, 17.7
- livetrapping, 48–53; bait, 49; cardinal rule of livetrapping, 50; disturbance from, 48; factors that affect success, 50–52; hypothermia and hyperthermia, 51; mortality from, 51; size and source of livetraps, 49; surroundings of, 49–50; total number of captures and re-captures, 48–49; white-cloth covers, 51, images 4.1–4.4
- livetraps. *See also* livetrapping
- local resource enhancement, 440
- locus (loci), polymorphic, 61–63, 468
- longevity, of burrows, 26–27; of captive prairie dogs 212; vs changes in type of clan or coterie, 195; vs hibernation, 30; vs lifetime reproductive success (LRS) for males and females, 235, figs 13.9, 13.10; of males and females, 174; 210–218, figs 11.8–11.9; maximal, 208, 210–215, figs 11.8–11.9, image 11.1, table 11.1; sexual asymmetry, 174, 210–215; of territories, 164–166, fig 9.2. *See also* lifespan
- longshots for possible research, 4, 224, 299, 339, 343–344, 449
- long-tailed weasel, 6, 26, 28, 95–96, 109; intense predation by, 117–120, 198, 322, 382, 431, 448, 475, 485, 492, image 6.6, tables 6.1, 25.3; interment of, 26, 96–97, 118
- long-term research, values of, xi, 8, 40, 93, 112–113, 117, 119, 213, 220, 343, 356, 364, 383, 392, 406, 442, 450, 457–458
- louse. *See* lice
- LRS. *See* lifetime reproductive success
- lubricant. *See* vaginal lubricant
- luck, with Barbara the American badger, 140; for bobcat that captured prairie dog, 96; in discovery of communal nursing, 322, 339; in discovery of infanticide, 322; in discovery that prairie dog copulations occur underground, 3, 322; when lightning struck my observation tower, 66; in longterm research, 491; for male and female annual reproductive success (ARS), 233, 242, 377; to photograph of litter size of 7 or 8, 325; for study of reproductive synchrony, 100; for tracking offspring over time, 227
- luring, of white-tailed prairie dog by Wyoming ground squirrel, 452, 455
- lx*, for lifetables, 214
- lxcx*, for life table of male prairie dogs, 216–217, fig 11.11
- lxm*, for life table of female prairie dogs, 214–217, fig 11.10

- male-male competition. *See* competition
- male reproductive success. *See* annual reproductive success (ARS); lifetime reproductive success (LRS)
- male tenure, in clan and coterie territories. *See* tenure
- management, and conservation, of prairie dogs, 459, 472, 474–490, table 26.1
- manipulation of juvenile sex ratio, by mother, 435
- many eyes effect, for safety in colonies, 98
- map, of geographic distribution of all five species of prairie dogs, 18–19, fig 3.1
- marauder, black-tails, defined, 102; 381, 383, 385, 387–391, 398, 400–401, figs 21.3–21.5, 21.7–21.9; annual reproductive success (ARS) of black-tail marauders vs nonkillers, 391–392, figs 21.3–21.5; 21.7, images 21.2, 21.6, tables 21.1, 21.2
- marauders: gunnisons, 394–401, figs 21.8–28.9, images 21.5–21.6; utahs, 394–401, figs 21.8–21.9, image 21.4; white-tails that killed Wyoming ground squirrels, 441–455, figs 25.2–22.5, images 25.3–25.4, 25.6, table 25.2
- marking bag, 54, images 4.4–4.6, 4.8, 4.9
- marking, of burrow-entrances, with Ritchie cattle eartags, 63, images 3.6, 3.10, 4.2, 4.3; effect on behavior, 59; increased vulnerability of prairie dogs with certain markers, 59; of livetraps, 50, image 4.3; of prairie dogs with Nyanzol fur dye, ix, xi, 5, 28, 45, 47, 51–54, 57–60, images 4.3–4.4, 4.9–4.11
- mass. *See* body mass
- matching, self-referential, 186, 188, 396, 418
- mate-fidelity, 377
- maternal age effects, 222
- maternal behaviors, 294, 387; onset of, 294; abrupt termination after loss of litter, 391
- maternal competence, 99, 222, 239, 328, 332, 361, 445, 453, table 18.1
- maternal reproductive success. *See* annual reproductive success (ARS); lifetime reproductive success (LRS)
- maternity, assignment and determination, 60, 346, 374, 416, 495, fig 22.9
- mating call, 2, 32, 43, 298–315, images 16.1, 16.2; for above-ground copulations, 301, fig 16.2; as announcement to other males, 298, 307, 315; benefits, 307–312; and contextual cue hypothesis, 300; costs, 305–307; cumulative time, 303, fig 16.5; defined 299; directed to whom, 301; intraspecific differences, 301, fig 16.1; enhanced attractiveness to unmated females, 308, fig 16.8; facilitated copying mate-choice by females, 308, fig 16.8; factors that affect, 308; by females, 313; and female annual reproductive success (ARS), 312–315, fig 16.12; vs increased vulnerability to predation, 305–307; as inducement of female receptivity, 308–310; as inducement of ovulation, 310; and male annual reproductive success (ARS), 310–312, 314–315, figs 16.11, 20.8, 20.9; vs male age and body mass, 310, 314; fig 16.10; mismatch with estrus, 301; more research needed, 313; number and duration, 299, 302–303, figs 16.3, 16.4; vs order of copulations, 304–305, 314, fig 16.7; percentage of estrous females that elicited, 300, figs 16.1, 16.2; vs possible dishonesty, 299; vs possible guarding, 301; response to mating call by other prairie dogs, 300; vs stage of mating season, 310, 315, fig 16.9; vs superior females, 312–313; vs territorial call, 300–301; unique for two reasons, 300; variation among same and different males for giving, 303–304, fig 16.6
- mating season, 265–266; defined 263, 353, figs 15.2, 16.9, 19.2, images 4.14, 4.15, 6.4, 15.1
- mating system, overall, 377
- May, Lord Robert, xi, 4, 427
- mechanism 1, to avoid incest, male-biased natal dispersal, 408–409, figs 22.1–22.4
- mechanism 2, to avoid incest, older males disperse, 409–412, fig 22.5
- mechanism 3, to avoid incest, yearling females defer estrus, 412, fig 22.6
- mechanism 4, female refuses to copulate with brother or father of home territory, 412–414, figs 22.7–22.8
- memorable prairie dogs, 42, 59, 91, 231, 399
- menopause, 223–225
- methods, for research with prairie dogs, 44–74. *See also* aging; amicability of behavioral interactions; schedule, annual and daily; assignments of maternity; assignments of paternity; canvas conical marking bag; eartagging; handling and sexing; livetrapping; marking of burrow entrances; Nyanzol fur dye; statistical analyses; study-colony-sites; tower; deer blind; ultrasound machine
- Mexican prairie dog (mexican, MPD), 10–12, 15, 17–18, 20, 23–24, 29–30, 40–43, 320, 457, 475–476, 479, 481, 484, 488, image 2.5, tables 3.1, 3.2, 27.1
- microsatellites (microsats), 17, 60–63, 179, 248, 293, 297, 352–353, 367–375, 378, 416, 423, 468, 495, figs 15.21, 19.1, 20.3–20.5, 20.8, tables 4.2, 4.3
- military time (24-hour clock), 48, 112, fig 6.2
- miniature people, 42, 495
- minimal, effect or estimate, 5, 48, 59, 68, 71, 73, 88, 108, 111, 119–120, 123, 146, 148, 156, 164, 183, 203, 206, 216, 231, 251, 265, 276, 278, 282, 286, 320–321, 343, 349, 361–362, 364, 368, 383, 394, 399–400, 402, 414, 416, 423, 444, 449–450, 483–484, 494–495, figs 15.2–15.4
- misdirection, of parental care, 86–89; 91, 333, 347, table 18.1. *See also* mixing
- missing male list (MML), 266–267
- mistake, in my research, 25, 37, 165, 230, 443
- mite, 35–37, 77
- mixed reproductive strategy, 361, 365
- mixing, of juveniles form different litters, 60, 76, 86–89, 91, 105, 341, 347, 416, fig 5.7, table 18.1
- MML. *See* missing male list
- mobbing, as advantage of coloniality, 94–98; of small predators, 94–99, 187, 189, 414, 492
- moderate inbreeding, defined 404, tables 22.1, 22.5; common, 4, 403, 406, 416–418, 425, fig 22.9; observed vs expected frequency, 417
- molar attrition, to determine age, 68–69, 73; fig 4.2
- molting, 37–38, 43, 54, 58–59, 364
- monandry, defined 7, 10, 14, 297, 353, 356–365, 373–374, 376, 434, 459, 468–469, figs 19.6, 20.6, 20.9; vs costs of polyandry, 362–364, figs 19.13–19.15; intersexual conflict regarding optimal frequency, 361–362, figs 19.1, 19.4, 20.1, 20.3; vs mate-guarding, 359–360, images 15.2, 15.3; to minimize the costs of polyandry, 361; mixed reproductive strategy, 361; vs optimal choice, 353, 360–362; vs polyandry for same female in different years, 361–362, fig 19.12; serial monandry, 361
- monikers, for certain American badgers and prairie dogs. *See* nicknames
- monkeypox (mpox), research with prairie dogs, 83, 85–86, 487
- monogyny, defined, 7, 353, 368, 375, 434
- monopolization, of females by males, 187–189, 195, 200–201, 263, 274, 373–374, 310, 361, 365, 412, 469
- Monte colony, of black-tails, 101, fig 5.11

- mortality, from aggression, 77, 105, 179, 211; within burrows, 28; from developmental and genetic defects, 322, 382; vs disappearance from long-distance dispersal, 175, 190–201, 202–218, 403–425, 426–432; from dispersal, 377, 403–425, 426–432; from handling, 54; from infanticide, 4, 382, 379–402; from livetrapping, 51; from loss of habitat, poisoning, and recreational shooting, 423, 474–490; from non-plague diseases, such as monkeypox and tularemia, 83, 85–86; from overheating in livetraps, 51; from plague, 45, 479–480, table 5.1; from predation, 106, 107–121
- mother-son incest, avoidance, 403, 408–409, 415, 470, figs 22.1–22.2, tables 22.1, 22.5
- mound. *See* burrow mound
- MPD. *See* Mexican prairie dog
- multi-male clans (and coteries), 190–201, figs 10.1, 10.2, 10.6–10.9; vs clan and coterie size, 195, 200–201, fig 10.2; vs female annual reproductive success (ARS), 198, 201, fig 10.7; kinship between males of multi-male clans and coteries, 193, figs 10.3, 10.4; vs male annual reproductive success (ARS), 199–201, fig 10.8; vs number of sexually mature males in colony, 196, 200–201, fig 10.6
- multiple entrances, to same burrow, 24–26, fig 3.4, image 3.3
- multiple paternity, defined, 62–63, 175, 293–294, 297, 352–354, 367, 375, 468, table 4.3
- mx, for life tables, 214–217, fig 11.10
- naivete, 130, 224
- natal clan or coterie territory. *See* territory
- natal dispersal, defined, 404, table 22.1; vs avoidance of incest, 403–412; effect of cooperation vs competition, 427, 439–432, figs 23.1–23.7; interspecific differences vs phylogeny, 470–471; male biased dispersal precludes mother-son and sister-brother incest, 408–409; male-biased for all four species, 409, 426, 436, figs 22.1, 22.2; more common when zero close kin in natal territory, 426–432, figs 23.1–23.7; opposite is philopatry, 409, fig 22.4; vs presence or absence of close kin in natal territory, 426–432, figs 23.1–23.7; rare for females of all four species, 409, 426, figs 22.1, 22.2
- natal territory, defined, 4, 142, 176, 404, table 22.14. *See also* natal dispersal
- National Band and Tag eartags, 56
- natural conditions, of study-colonies, ix, 45, 386
- necropsy, 234, 324, 435
- negative kin discrimination, 390
- nematode, 35
- neonate, abandonment, 319, 393–394; in conspecific brood parasitism, 89; description, 39, 319–320; infanticide, 394–395, 400, images 21.4–21.5; transfer, 40, 60, 89, 346, 393–394, 455
- nepotism, defined, 2, 133; abrupt changes, 183; in alarm calling, 2, 133; vs amicability of interactions, 179–183, figs 9.17, 9.18; within clans and coteries, 176–178, figs 9.15, 9.16; vs communal nursing, 341, 344, 348, 349, images 18.2–18.3, tables 18.1–18.3; vs competition, 183–184, figs 9.17, 9.18; discriminative, 2; vs infanticide, 386–390, fig 21.6; vs reproductive stage, 183–184, figs 9.17, 9.18
- nestbuilding, 89–91; by black-tail male, on day of copulation, 284–285, image 15.5; by female soon after copulation, 294, image 5.7; stealing, 89–91; 284–285
- nest chamber, 21, 89–91
- NGS (next generation sequencing), 352, 367, 468, 495. *See also* single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)
- nicknames, for certain American badgers or prairie dogs, 42, 59, 79, 110, 231, 250, 337, 399, images 5.4, 6.1, 14.2
- nighttime activity, 20–21, 47, 321–322
- nipple. *See* teats
- no-male clans (and coteries), 190–201, figs 10.1, 10.2, 10.6–10.9; vs clan and coterie size, 195, 200–201, fig 10.2; vs female annual reproductive success (ARS), 198, 201, fig 10.7; vs male annual reproductive success (ARS), 199–201, fig 10.8; vs number of sexually mature males in colony, 196, 200–201, fig 10.6
- nonnondescendant kin. *See* kinship
- nondiscriminative nepotism, 185, fig 9.19. *See also* discriminative nepotism
- nonparental infanticide, 380–382. *See also* infanticide
- nonsupporting data, for costs of polyandry, 364; for theories about juvenile sex ratios, 440; for theories about reproductive synchrony, 105
- northern goshawk, predation by, 113–117, image 6.5
- nuptial offering, 284–285, 292, image 15.5
- nursing, when it occurred, 321–322, aboveground vs underground, 322, 334; cost before and after first juvenile emergences, 317, 347; volume of milk dispensed during nursing, 331; vs weaning, 7, 317; wet spots on mother's teats, 322. *See also* communal nursing; lactation
- Nyanzol fur dye, 53, 57–60, 73, 260, 435, 493, images 4.5, 4.9–4.11, 24.1; possible effects on predation and natural behaviors, 59
- oatholic, 49, 53, image 4.1
- observation tower, 65–66, image 4.13
- observer effects, 59, 113
- odontoma, 86
- offering. *See* nuptial offering
- one-male clans (and coteries), 161–189, 190–201, figs 9.6, 10.1, 10.2, 10.6–10.9; vs clan and coterie size, 195, 200–201, fig 10.2; vs female annual reproductive success (ARS), 198, 201, fig 10.7; vs male annual reproductive success (ARS), 199–201, fig 10.8; vs number of sexually mature males in colony, 196, 200–201, fig 10.6
- operational sex ratio (OSR), defined, 356; effect on frequencies of polyandry and polygyny, 356
- opportunity costs, of alarm calls, 133
- optimal choice, of males by females, 360–362
- optimal level of polyandry vs intersexual conflict of interest, 361–162
- optimal size and type of clan or coterie vs intersexual conflict of interest, 175–176, 188, 200–201
- order, of copulations, 233, 242; vs probability of mating call, 305, 307, fig 16.7; vs probability of siring, 372, 375–376, 378, figs 20.8, 20.9
- outbreeding, defined, 404, table 22.1; costs and benefits, 406–408, 425. *See also* inbreeding
- outbreeding depression, defined 408; and moderate inbreeding, 408
- overdominance, or heterosis, or heterozygote advantage, 468
- overgrazing, by livestock, 482
- overheating, as major concern when livetrapping in hot weather, 51–52, 91
- ovulation, defined 7; 103, 223–224, 265, 276, 308, 310; once per year, 482. *See also* estrus
- P-values to assign statistical significance, 70
- paleontology, 17
- parallel dispersal, 419, 431
- parametric vs nonparametric statistics, 69–70

- parasite. *See* ectoparasites; endoparasites
- parental age effects, maternal and paternal, negative and positive, 222, 225
- parental care. *See* direct fitness; paternal care
- parental experience, 99, 222, 239, 328, 332, 361, 445, 453, table 18.1
- parental investment, 284–285, 292, 435–437, image 15.5
- parent-offspring incest, avoidance, 377, 403, 408–412, 415, 425, 470, figs 22.1–22.2, 22.5–22.6, table 22.5
- parent-offspring recognition, 88–89, 347–348. *See also* alarm call; communal nursing; infanticide; kin recognition; coloniality: misdirected parental care in colonies
- partial-litter infanticide, 387, fig 21.5
- parturient female, defined 383; 384–385, 401, figs 21.1, 21.4
- parturition, defined, 317; for calculation of duration of gestation, 319–320, fig 17.2; for calculation of duration of lactation, 320–321, fig 17.3; strong correlation with date of copulation, fig 15.17; with sudden change in vaginal color about weeks after copulation, fig 17.1; with sudden loss of body mass about 4 or 5 weeks after copulation, fig 17.1; three ways to determine, 317–319; time of day when parturition occurs, 318–319; with unusually late first emergence from home burrow about 4 or 5 weeks after copulation, 318; underground, always, 317
- paternal age effects, 222
- paternal care, 198, 292, 351–352, table 19.1; via alarm calls, 138–146, 158–160, figs 8.1–8.5, 8.7–8.8, 8.10; defense against infanticide by invading males, 193–194, 198; via mate-helping, 152
- paternal half-siblings, 186, 348, 398–399, 401, 430, fig 21.9. *See also* direct social learning
- paternal investment. *See* parental investment
- paternal recognition of offspring, 89, 186, 347, 398, 418, 425
- paternal reproductive success, vs sex ratio of weanlings, 439. *See also* ARS for males; LRS for males
- paternity assignments. *See* assignments of paternity
- payoffs. *See* benefits
- pedigrees, 416–418
- pelage, color and length, 9–14, 17, 59–60, 443, images 2.1–2.9; first appearance after birth, 320; summer vs winter, 37–38, 43, 54; density and length, 37, 77. *See also* molting; Nyanzol
- perianal scent glands, 67–68, 273, image 15.1
- periphery, and center-edge effects, 64, 98–100, 115–116, 121, 129, fig 6.4
- personalities, 42–43, 59, 79, 91, 110, 231, 250, 337, 399, images 5.4, 6.1, 14.2; can enhance conservation, 42
- person-hours, of research, 47, table 4.1
- Petrified Forest National Park, 15, 45, 46, 49, 64, 73, 85, 108, 117, 164, 173, 196, 208, 213, 249, 327, 334, 363, 458, figs 9.2, 9.12, 11.4, 11.8, 14.5, 17.7, 18.1, 19.13, 22.4, image 2.10, tables 4.1, 5.1
- pets, captivating, 42–43; as threat to conservation, 479, 483, image 27.3
- phenotypic matching. *See* self-referential matching
- philopatry, defined, 3; 4, 175, 178–180; 195, 201, 387, 390, 404–405, 409, 411, 417, 429–431, 432, 436, 440, 496, fig 22.4
- physical area occupied by colonies and wards, 64, 92, 204–205, 460, table 4.1; increases over time, 92, table 4.1
- pink vagina or red vagina vs white vagina, on day of parturition, 317–318. *See also* vulva
- pinna, for inserting eartag, 53, 56, 73, image 4.5
- PIT (Passive Integrated Transponder) tag, vs National eartag, 56–57, 493
- plague, arrival into USA prairie dog colonies, 479; caused by bacterium, 83, 89, 470; devastating for prairie dogs, 6, 19, 45–46, 83, 85, 105, 479–480, table 4.1; enzootic vs epizootic, 479; same as Black Death, and fatal among humans, 83, 487; high mortality and susceptibility of prairie dogs, 85, 479–480, 487, table 5.1; infusions of burrows to reduce plague, 85, 480, table 5.1; possible resistance for some prairie dogs, 479; research on transmission of diseases, 37, 487; at my study colonies, 46, 85, 222–223, 334, 361, tables 4.1, 5.1; threat to conservation of prairie dogs and their grassland ecosystems, 407, 474, 478–483, 486–487; three stages in humans, 480; transmission (rare) from prairie dogs to humans, 480–481, 486; transmission via fleas, 36–37, 76, 80, 83, 105, 211, 453, 478–481, 486–487, image 3.9; vaccines, 80, 480, 483. *See also* bubonic plague; enzootic plague; pneumonic plague; septicemic plague
- play, 68, 341
- pleiotropy, 220, 225; antagonistic, 220
- plug. *See* copulatory plug
- plugging, of burrow-entrances, 25–26, 50, 118
- pneumonic plague, 479–480. *See also* plague
- poisoning, of prairie dogs, 6, 15, 19, 40, 45–46, 73, 92, 407, 423, 474, 478–479, 482–483, 487, 489–490; repopulation after poisoning, 482, table 4.1. *See also* conservation
- politics and legislation regarding conservation, power of, 485–486
- polyandry, defined, 3, 350, 351; vs adult sex ratio, 355, fig 19.5; aggressive encounters, 363, fig 19.14; benefits, direct and indirect, 351, 496, table 19.1; calculated choice by female, 355; conflict of interest with males vs optimal frequency, 361–362, 365, figs 19.1, 19.4, 20.1, 20.3; convenience polyandry, 351, 362–364; vs colony density, 355; vs conception and parturition, 358–359, fig 19.9; vs cumulative effect of costs of polyandry, 3, 351, 362–364, figs 19.13–19.15; cumulative time underground, 364, fig 19.13; diseases and parasites, 351; frequency, 353, fig 19.1; due to gallivanting, 354, 365–366, 372–373, 378; vs female annual reproductive success (ARS), 356–359, figs 19.6, 19.7; genetic diversity among offspring, 351; how it occurred, 353–354, 365, fig 19.3; hypotheses to explain, 351, table 19.1; implications, 351; vs juvenile survivorship in first year after weaning, 358–359, fig 19.11; vs larger litters at weaning, 358–359, fig 19.10; manipulate experiment to investigate benefits, 360; vs mate-guarding, 359–360, 365, images 15.2, 15.3; mixed reproductive strategy, 361, 365; vs monandry for same female in different years, 361–362, fig 19.12; vs number of underground consortships, 358, fig 19.8; vs optimal choice by females, 360–362; vs predation, 362; vs production of yearlings, 356–358, fig 19.7; promiscuity, 351; 354, 366, 372–373, 378; serial polyandry, 361; vs survivorship of polyandrous females, 363; ubiquitous, 3, 351, 367
- polygynandry, 377–378
- polygyny, defined, 7, 353, 367; from biochemical techniques, 369–371, 378, figs 13.5–13.8, 20.3; copulations are secretive, 367; to describe overall prairie dog mating systems, 367, 377–378; vs female-biased adult sex ratio, 368; gallivanting, 169–170, 354, 366, 372–373, 378; harem-polygyny, 41, 175, 187, 189, 193, 373, 423, 458, table 3.2; inferred vs observed, 369; luck and two other factors that affect polygyny, 376–378; from observed copulations, 368–371, 378, 496, figs 20.1–20.2, 20.4–20.9; vs order of copulations, 375, 378; figs 16.7, 20.8, 20.9; resident vs nonresident males, 371–375, figs 20.6–20.7; siring of weanlings and yearlings vs male copulatory success; 370–371, 378, figs 20.4, 20.5; from social organization within clans, colonies, and coterie, 368; ubiquity, 367; ways to quantify, 367; which males sired offspring, 371–377, figs 20.5–20.9

- polymorphic loci, 61–63, 179, 220, 468, tables 4.2, 4.3
- polynomial regression, 69, 203, 208–213, 217, 220–223, 225, 245, 310, 327–329, 438, figs 11.6–11.8, 12.1–12.2
- population dynamics, 5, 8, 108, 117–118, 202–203, 207, 404, 456, 459, 465–466, 496, table 26.1. *See also* dispersal; predation
- population size. *See* colony size
- population status, from cohort-specific life tables for females and males, 214–217, figs 11.10, 11.11
- Prairie Dog Optimization Algorithm, (PDOA, for solving complex problems, 487
- prairie dog, origin of common name 17; other common names, 17
- Prairie Dog Squad, xi, xii, 36, 47–48, 51, 58, 63, 65, 66, 72–73, 91, 114, 120, 133–134, 137–138, 138, 163, 178, 183, 250, 263, 265, 269, 273, 282, 285, 294, 300–301, 307, 318, 330, 393, 400, 442, 446, 451, 465, 477, 481, fig 26.2, images 3.9, 4.11, 4.12, 4.13, 4.14, 4.15, 8.1–8.2, 8.5, 9.1, 15.1, 25.3, 27.1
- prairie dog weed (fetid marigold), 476
- Precautionary Principle, regarding conservation of prairie dogs, 486
- precipitation, effects, on annual reproductive success (ARS), 169, 233–234, 242; on body mass, 245, 254, 268, 321, 377, 482, image 14.1; on clan size and coterie size, 169; on flea counts, 36–37, 43, 80; on inducing prairie dogs to submerge into burrow, 27, 321; on litter size, 169; on luck/chance, 377; on sharing of burrows, 27
- predation, defined, 32, 107–121, 447; alarm calls, as important defense, 132–160; cooperation between American badger and coyote, 112, image 6.3; on dispersers, 408, 421, 424; effect of clan/coterie size, 150–151; effect on demography and population dynamics, 108; effect of hibernation, 30; effect (possible) of Nyanzol dye, 59; effect of snow, 58, image 4.11; environmental factors that affect, 119–120; low rate of, 93, 108; lower with eavesdropping, 148, 452; lower for foster and own offspring from communal nursing, 333, 341, 349, 431, table 18.1; lower for Wyoming ground squirrels at white-tail colony-sites, 452; movement at night to avoid, 21; numbers observed at each colony, 32, 59, 93, 108, table 6.1; overall number of predations documented, 108–109, 153, table 6.1; plugging burrows to avoid, 26; reduced predation, as main benefit of coloniality, 93–106, 187, 189, 355, 459, table 26.1; relentless predation by long-tailed weasels, 117–119, 121, image 6.6; relentless predation by red fox and northern goshawks, 113–117, 121, 240, images 6.4, 6.5; relentless predation by single American badger, 110–113, 120–121, fig 6.2, image 6.1; secondary losses, 108, table 6.1; vs site of copulation, 466; undetected cases, 48, 112, 118, 120; 494; variation across years, for species of predator and species of prey, 108, table 6.1; vulnerability of alarm callers, immigrants, peripheral individuals, obese individuals, pregnant females, sexually active males, and weanlings, 2, 58, 92, 113–117, 121, 129, 133, 135, 142, 147, figs 6.4–6.3; on unweaned juveniles, 435, 436; within burrows, 28
- predators, on prairie dogs, list, 32, 109, 118, images 5.8–5.11, 6.1–6.7, 27.4, table 6.1
- pregnancy, 2, 26–28, 39, 42–43, 54, 60, 64–66, 89–90, 104, 107–108, 115–116, 120–121, 147, 152, 180–185, 188, 207, 240–241, 244, 247, 250, 258–259, 261, 265, 276, 292, 294, 316–319, 324, 328, 391, 394, 434–435, 491, 495, figs 9.17–9.20, 14.18. *See also* gestation
- pregnancy block (Bruce effect, or effect of nonsire male's presence), 394
- primary sex ratio, defined 434
- Pringle colony, of black-tails, 22, 101, 420, figs 3.2, 5.11
- Prism Graph, 69
- prospective nursery-burrow, 27, 89–90, 285, 294
- protection by dilution, as benefit of coloniality, 98, 128, 332–333, 341, 348–349, 452, table 18.1
- protective cover for livetraps, to prevent overheating, 51, fig 4.4
- protein, 293, 476, fig 15.21
- pseudo-estrus, 265
- r_i , tables 18.2, 21.1, 22.5. *See also* coefficient of genetic relatedness
- R_p , 214
- radio, two-way, 47, 294
- radio-collar, to study black-tail dispersal, 420–421, image 22.1
- radio-isotopes, also called radionuclides, 322, 340, 344–347, 349, 467, table 18.2
- radio-telemetry. *See* radio-collar
- rainfall. *See* precipitation
- ranchers, why they dislike prairie dogs, 421, 481–482; horses and cows step into prairie dog burrows and incur leg fractures, 481, 486, 489; prairie dogs compete with livestock for food 29, 474–475, 478, 481–482, 486–487, 489; prairie dogs are prolific breeders, 6, 481, 489; prairie dogs transmit plague, 481; profits for ranchers who allow recreational shooting of prairie dogs, 478
- random effect, unique eartag number, for certain statistical analyses, 70–71, 73, 133, 144–145, 150, 252–253, 309, 311, 357, 359–360, 438–439, 450
- range, geographic, 12, 13, 15, 17–19, 31, 41, 43, 80, 463, 478, 483, 488, 493, fig 3.1, table 3.2
- rank. *See* colony rank and ward rank
- rape. *See* forced copulation
- rarity, of black-footed ferret, 45, of prairie dogs, ix, 6, 19, 41, fig 3.1, table 3.2
- raspy chatter, of gunnisons, 32, 156–157. *See also* territorial call ratio, of females with offspring vs other types of kin, 159, fig 8.15
- reciprocal altruism, reciprocity, defined, 7, 144, 148, 332, table 18.1
- recognition. *See* parent-offspring recognition
- recreational shooting, and negative secondary effects, 6, 19, 40, 45–46, 73, 407, 423, 474, 478, 483, 487, 489–490, table 4.1
- red fox, 2, 5–6, 28, 100, 107, 109, 113–117, 120–121; recognition of individuals, 113, figs 6.3, 6.4, image 6.4, table 6.1
- reduced predation, as benefit of coloniality, 93–106
- red vagina. *See* pink vagina
- refurbishment, of burrows and burrow-mounds, 26–28, 93, 224, 284–285, 391, images 3.2, 3.4, 15.5. *See also* burrows
- relatedness. *See* kinship
- replication, true, 71–72, 123, 138; sacrificial, 71
- reproductive condition. *See* body condition; body mass
- reproductive conflict hypothesis, 224
- reproductive senescence, 8, 207, 211, 219–225, 472; for females, 221–222 fig 12.1; for males, 222, fig 12.2
- reproductive success, 226–243, estimates from different stages, 227; ways to estimate, 227, 242. *See also* annual reproductive success (ARS); lifetime reproductive success (LRS)
- reproductive suppression, 77
- reproductive synchrony, 100–105; asynchrony within, 102; benefits, 100; within colonies, 100–101, figs 5.11, 5.12; within coterie and clans, extreme vs moderate, 103–104, figs 5.13, 5.14; defined, 100; vs infanticide, 102; vs latitude, 100; nearest-neighbor, 101
- reproductive value, 144, 149, 224

- resident male, defined, 7, 27, 34, 56, 60, 61–63, 103–104, 161–162, 165–168, 175, 177, 181–183, 185, 188, 190–196, 198–201, 257, 263, 267, 269, 276, 277–278, 282–285, 307, 348, 353–355, 365–368, 372–374, 377–378, 396, 398, 400, 401, 410, 412–413, 417, 423, 425, 445, 448, 454, 469, figs 9.1, 9.6–9.7, 9.18, 10.4–10.5, 20.6–20.7, 22.7, table 4.2
- resilience, to many sources of mortality, 489–490
- resorption, of embryos, 435. *See also* abortion
- retaliation, of black-tail mother to marauder, 387–388
- retaliatory copulation, 276
- RFD. *See* rubbing of face in dirt
- rim crater, 10–14, 23–24, 27, 41, 496; Bernoulli's principle, 24, fig 3.3, images 3.1, 3.2, table 3.2; construction and repair, 27; three functions 24
- Ritchie cattle eartag, for marking burrow entrances, 63, images 3.6, 4.2, 4.3
- rods, in retina, 21
- roundworm, 35
- rubbing of face in dirt (RFD), after infanticide, 381, 390–391, 400
- runaway, 67, 270; vs ward size, 76–77; fig 5.1
- sadness, at loss of known prairie dogs, 25, 119, 390
- sample sizes, 6, 14, 47, 51, 69–70, 73, 101, 126–128, 133, 141, 147–148, 176, 179, 195, 214, 216, 222, 227, 246, 248, 253, 288–291, 306, 313, 318, 342, 344–345, 358, 361, 363, 373–374, 391, 397, 406–409, 411, 423–425, 435, 440, 448–450, 465, 467–468, 472, 494, figs 9.14, 11.9, 14.9, 15.17, 20.5, 24.1, tables 15.1, 22.3
- sanctuaries where I studied prairie dogs, 15, 40, 44–46, 386, 420, 485, 487, 489–490, 492, image 2.10, table 4.1; need for better information about dispersal distances, 492; optimal distance between colonies for regular gene flow, 492
- SAS, for statistical analyses, 69
- scan sample to estimate vigilance, defined, 122–130
- scars, from ectoparasites, 35–36; from fights, 53–54, 76–77, 79, 363–364, fig 19.15, images 4.5, 5.4. *See also* aggression; injuries
- scats (fecal pellets) aboveground 22, 28; within burrows, 22; collection of, 321, 344; for determining diet, 29; as fertilizer of topsoil, 476
- scent glands. *See* perianal scent glands
- schedule, annual and daily, 20, 47–48, 66, 73, 118, 283, 293, 318, 322, 339, 368, 386, 392, 399, 442, 493
- Sciuridae, 17–18, 203, table 3.1
- scream, 32
- scrotum, pigmented (black or dark gray) vs unpigmented (white or light gray), 54, 207
- season, as alternative term for estrus. *See* estrus
- second estrus, 264–265, 319, fig 15.1; vs pseudo-estrus, 265
- selfish herd effects, as benefit of coloniality, 98–101, 106, 150, 452; vs communal nursing, 332, 341, 348–349, 452, table 18.1; and vigilance, 129
- self-licking, of genitals. *See* genital licking
- self-preservation, and alarm calls, 152–154, fig 8.14
- self-referential matching of phenotypes, 186, 188, 396, 418
- senescence, actuarial, 202, 207–213, 217, fig 11.6; negligible, 208; reproductive, 8, 207, 211, 219–225, 472
- sensational discovery, 230, 443
- sentinels, and vigilance, 130
- septicemic plague, 479. *See also* plague
- serendipity, 4, 36, 224, 290, 339, 442–443, 449, 491
- severity, of winter, effect on annual and lifetime reproductive success, 233–235, 242, 294–295; of inbreeding depression, 407
- sexing, errors 54–56. *See also* handling and sexing
- sex ratios. *See* adult sex ratios; juvenile sex ratios
- sexual asymmetry, in actuarial senescence, 208–210, 217, fig 11.6; in age of first copulation, 206–209, 380, fig 11.5; in age-specific survivorship, 208–210, fig 11.6; in alarm calling, 139, 141–143, figs 8.1, 8.5; in annual and lifetime reproductive success (ARS and LRS), 226, 228–231; in body mass of adults, 255–261, fig 14.15; in body mass of juveniles, 257, figs 14.16, 14.17; in breeding dispersal, 409–411, figs 22.3–22.4; in dispersal, 211; in ectoparasitism, 36–37, 211, figs 3.5, 3.6 (*see also* sexual dimorphism); in execution of infanticide, 385, fig 21.3; in mortality of pre-emergent juveniles, 435–436, 440; in natal dispersal, 408–409, figs 22.1–22.2; in probability of copulation, 202, 206–209, 217, fig 11.5; in production of weanlings and yearlings, 226, 228–231, 242–243, figs 13.1–13.8; in survivorship, 202, 208–211, fig 11.6
- sexual bias. *See* sexual asymmetry
- sexual dimorphism in body mass, 255–261; for adults, 256–257, 261, fig 14.15, image 14; best time to estimate, 259; for juveniles, 257, 261, 435, 440, figs 14.16, 14.17; vs level of polygyny among species, 259; vs other morphological traits beside body mass, 259–260; seasonal variation, 257–258, 14.18
- sexual inactivity, of certain adult males, 9, 187, 231–232; 368–369, 376, fig 20.1
- sexual maturation, 206–209, 380, fig 11.5; methods of assignment, 207; sexual asymmetry, 206–209, fig 11.5
- sexual reproduction, costs and benefits, 406–408
- sexual selection, inter and intra, 257, 259, 351, 469
- sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), 282
- sharing, of burrows, 27–28, 42, 283, fig 15.14
- Sherman, Paul, xi, xii, 1, 2, 76, 149, 157, 159, 264
- shocking discovery, 184, 264, 269, 322, 370, 450, 471, 492. *See also* surprises
- shooting, of prairie dogs. *See* recreational shooting
- shortage of suitable habitat, as factor promoting coloniality, 76, 92–92, 105, 187; vs clan and coterie size, 174
- significance. *See* statistical analyses for this book
- silence, instead of giving alarm call, 135, 140, 142, 143, 492, images 8.1, 8.3–8.7
- single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), 352, 367, 468, 495
- single paternity, 61–63, 293, 297, 352–353, 375, fig 20.8, table 4.3
- sister-brother incest, 403, 408–409; 425, 470, figs 22.1, 22.2, table 22.5
- size. *See* body mass; clan and coterie size; colony size
- sleep and sleeping-burrow, 21, 49, 96, 448, 454
- Slobodchikoff et al., vs different gunnison alarms for different predators, 155–158; and gunnison sexual dimorphism, 260; and kinship of gunnisons in same clan determined by microsatellites alone, 178–179; kinship vs resources regarding gunnison spatial structure, 196–198; and siring of offspring by resident gunnison males, 374–375. *See also* Verdolin
- smoke, and smoke candles, to detect second entrance to burrow, 25
- snake, and foot-thumping of prairie dogs, 97–98, 157–158
- snakebot (snake robot), to see into prairie dog burrows, 493–494
- sniff, sniffing, of perianal glands and vulva, 101, 179, 193, 270, 277, 310
- snow, effect on visibility of burrow-entrances and prairie dogs to observers and predators, 32, 58, 120, images 4.11, 4.14, 4.15, 6.4
- snow dog, 294–295
- social facilitation of foraging, 76, 92–93, 105
- social learning. *See* direct social learning

- social organization, within colonies, 161–201, 187, 190–191
- social vigilance, 123, 128–129; unable to discriminate between antipredator vs social vigilance, 129. *See also* antipredator vigilance
- sod poodles, 17
- solicitation, of male by estrous female, 263, 270, 282, 295, 354, 413, 417
- solitary prairie dog, 92; in hibernation, 32
- sonogram, spectrograph, 32, 136
- sperm, choice by females, 351–352, 414, 468, table 19.1; depletion of, 104; incompatibility of, 468; removal from vagina by female, 281; from vaginal lavage after copulation, 292–293, 297, fig 15.20; variation in quality, 351–352, 414, 468, table 19.1
- sperm competition, 276, 351–352, 481, table 19.1
- sperm, depletion of, 104
- spite, 7
- spontaneous lactation, 334–335
- Squaddies. *See* Prairie Dog Squad
- squit-tuck, 135
- stalking, of prairie dogs by predators, 96, 113, 445, 471, image 5.9
- statistical analyses for this book, 69–72, 74; statistical significance used for this book, 70
- stealing, of milk from unwilling foster mother, 331; of nest material, 89–91, 462
- sterility, 187, 232, 366, 376
- stomach analysis, to study diet, 29
- students, ages, Prairie Dog Squad, 47
- study-area vs study-colony, for Gunnison's prairie dog, 45–46, 64, 109, 117, 120–121, 156–157, 196, 198–199, 203–207, 217, 266–268, 334, 361, 383–384, 411, 419, 460–461, 470, figs 10.7, 10.8, 11.1–11.3, 15.2–15.4, 18.1, 21.1–21.2, 26.1, 26.3, tables 4.1, 6.1; density of gunnisons in study-areas vs study-colonies, 64, 461
- study-colony-site, 45–46; increases in area occupied over time, 92, table 4.1; priorities (accessibility, invisible to most tourists, colony size of about 150 adults) 45, table 4.1
- study-ward, 45–46, table 4.1
- subcolony, or ward, 7, 16–43, 76–77, 202–218
- submergence, into burrow entrance, reasons for, (e.g., to build nest, to copulate, inclement weather, for infanticide, to nurse, predators, for sleeping), 268, 321; reluctance to submerge for predator, 135, 268, image 8.6
- subspecies, 10–14, 17–18, 20, 476, 481, tables 3.1, 27.1
- successful reproduction, defined, 236–237, 250
- suckling. *See* nursing
- surprises, 2, 4, 8, 22, 26, 59, 86, 89, 91, 98, 141, 153, 186, 200, 206, 230, 243, 264, 269, 285, 290, 310, 321, 336, 347, 356, 361, 364, 389, 397, 406, 419–410, 420, 438, 442–443, 450–451, 460, 472, 492
- surrounding, of livetraps, 24, 26, 49–50, 53, image 4.2. *See also* livetrapping
- survivorship, of adults and juveniles, 3, 5, 72, 208–211; vs clan and coterie size, 172–176; vs competition, 174; sexual asymmetry, 210–211, figs. 9.12, 11.6, image 4.14. *See also* *age-specific survivorship and mortality, costs of reproduction, and chapters 11–14*
- susceptibility. *See* vulnerability
- suspected, vs real, danger, 24, 94, 126, 463, table 7.1
- sustenance, from cannibalism, 380, 390, 394–396, 401, 447, images 21.4–21.6; from copulatory plug or courtship feeding, 292, 351, 352, table 19.1; from interspecific killings (IKs) 447, 450, image 25.6
- switching, of burrows at night, during mating season, 20, 282–283, from monandry to polyandry, or vice versa, 361–362, 365, fig 19.12, in response to predators, 21, 42
- sylvatic plague, 83, 479. *See also* plague
- sympatric, sympatry, 463–464, 482, 492, table 26.3
- synchrony. *See* reproductive synchrony
- synchrony, of vigilance, 130
- syndrome, 42, 43. *See also* nicknames; personalities
- SYSTAT, for statistical analyses, 69
- systematics. *See* taxonomy
- tail, color and length, 9–14, 17, 59–60, 443, images 2.1–2.9; flaring, 14, 42, 67, 78, figs 2.9, 5.2
- tapeworm, 35
- tattooing, to permanently mark prairie dogs, 59
- taxonomy, of prairie dogs, 16–18, table 3.1; of endoparasites, 35, of fleas, 51–52; of lice 35; of mites and ticks, 35
- teats, almost invisible, short and hard to find, or long and flat; 54, 319, 421; long and turgid, 33, 54, 65, 207, 250, 421; wet spots surrounding, 322, images 2.8, 3.7, 14.2
- teeth. *See* molar attrition; tooth chatter
- temperature, within burrows, 22; effect on first emergences and final submergences of adults, 47, 282, 294; effect on flea counts, 80; when using Nyanzol fur dye, 59
- temptation, to study all five species of prairie dogs, 457
- tent, as observation blind, 65
- tenure, of sexually mature male in clan or coterie territory, 409–412, fig 22.5
- terminal reproductive allocation, terminal reproductive effort, terminal reproductive investment, 220, 224–225
- termination, of parental care, 227, 434–436
- territorial call, 32–34, 43; as all clear signal, 33, 167–158, figs 3.7, 3.8; contagion, 33–34; effect on black-tail vigilance; number vs ward size, 86, fig 5.5; other functions, 33–34; other names, including jump-yip, laughing bark, and raspy chatter, 32; in response to snakes, 97–98
- territorial dispute, defined, 35, 56, 67, 76–79, 166, 179–180, 193, 363–364, 431, 496, image 5.2
- territoriality, regarding home clan/coterie territory, 35, 93, 116, 162, 165, 169, 179–184, 187, 189, 193–194, 224, 385, 389, 391, 396, 423, 431, figs 9.17–9.18; regarding home nursery-burrow by mother, 294, 380, 387–391, image 21.3
- territory, 164–166, fig 9.1, image 9.1; boundaries, 34–35, 67, 116, 163–165, 431; vs clan size, 169; defense, 165–166; longevity, 164–166; number and density of burrow-entrances, 165; physical area, 164–166, fig 9.3; quality and size, 164–166; variation in quality, 164, 166, 328, 451
- testes, descended or nondescended, scrotal or nonscrotal, 54, 207
- thermoregulation, as possible benefit of coloniality, 91
- tick, 35–37, 54, 77, 181
- tic-uhl, 136. *See also* chirp
- time-specific life table, 214, 217; other names for, 214
- time spent studying prairie dogs, 47, table 4.1
- timing, of copulations during the day 274, fig 15.9; of critical underground consortship (CUC), 274, fig 15.9; of disappearances of adults and juveniles, 209; of dispersals, 397; of first and last underground consortships (UCs), 269, figs 15.7, 15.8
- tolerance, of 2nd in multi-male clan or coterie, 193–194
- Tomahawk livetraps, 49
- tongue, pink, of Gunnison's Utah, or white-tailed prairie dog giving alarm call, 137, 138, images, 8.3, 8.5
- tooth chatter, 35, 43

- tower, xi, 20, 45, 47–48, 59, 65–67, 72–73, 108, 113, 130, 134, 138, 140–141, 269, 282, 284–285, 294, 301, 306–307, 309, 313, 390, 393, 399, 442–443, 477, 493, image 4.13
- town, of prairie dogs, 7, 29, 496
- transfer-abandonment, by white-tail mother, 393–394
- transfers, benefits, 40; of juveniles, via grabbing by mother, 40, 60, 89, 346–347, 393–394, image 3.10; of juveniles, via leading away by mother, 40; of juveniles, by researchers, 88, 484; of juvenile Wyoming ground squirrels by mother, 452, 455; of juvenile Utah victims, by long-tailed weasel, 118
- translocation, of long-tailed weasels away from study colony, 119; of prairie dogs to start new colony, 484–485, 490; moving as family groups does not seem to enhance success of translocations, 484; of Wyoming ground squirrels by researchers, to study interspecific killings, 453. *See also* transfer
- transmission, of diseases and parasites, 36–37, 76, 83, 105, 211, 453, 480–481, 486–487
- true replication, 71
- tularemia, 83, 85–86
- turning bay, within burrow, 22, fig 3.2
- two-way radios, to communicate with research assistants, 47, 294
- UC. *See* underground consortship
- ultrasound machine, portable, Sonosite, 64–66, 324, fig 4.1, image 4.12
- underground consortship (UC), defined, 268–297, figs 15.5–15.11; all-day, *see* all-day critical underground consortship (CUC); vs critical underground consortship (CUC), 270–276; cumulative time per estrus, 278–279, 363, figs 15.11, 19.13; duration, 269, 271, fig 15.6; number per estrous female, 269–270, fig 15.5; number vs number of males that copulated, 358, fig 19.8; starting time of first UC, 269, fig 15.7; starting time of last UC, 269, 273, fig 15.8; vs underground copulation, 3, 266–286. *See also* estrus
- uniqueness, of critical underground consortship (CUC), starting time, 276, fig 15.9; duration, 276, fig 15.10; of keystone effects of prairie dogs on grassland ecosystem, 6, 474–477, 489; of diagnostic behaviors associated with infanticide (BF, DF, LFC, RFD), 391; of eartags, image 4.8; of female vocalization during aboveground copulation, 313; of interspecific killing of Wyoming ground squirrels by white-tails, 453; of mating calls, 299, 300; of mothers, on day of parturition, 317–318; of Nyanzol dye markers, 56, 57–60, images 4.9–411; of rim craters, 23–24, 496, fig 3.3, image 3.1; of widespread kin-directed infanticide, 458
- unused suitable habitat, 92, 105
- unusual behaviors, that were diagnostic on day of copulation, 277–278
- UPD. *See* Utah prairie dog
- urban colonies, popular with curious naturalists, 475, 484–485, 487, 490; where found, 484; why important, 485, images 27.5–27.6;
- urine, as fertilizer at colony-sites, 476
- Utah prairie dog (UPD, Utah), 13, images 2.6, 2.7
- uterine litter size, black-tails, 234, 324, 495; gunnisons, 64–66, 324, 495, fig 4.1, image 4.12
- vaccines, to immunize prairie dogs against plague, 80, 480, 483
- vagina, pink vs white, as indicator of parturition, 317–319, 328, fig 17.1
- vaginal lavage, after copulation, 292–293, 297, fig 15.20
- vaginal lubricant, 293
- Valles Caldera National Preserve (VCNP), xiii, 30–31, 45–46, 62, 64–65, 73, 85, 108, 110, 115, 117, 334, 487, image 2.10, tables 4.1, 5.1
- variation, (annual variation), in alarm calling, 132–160, figs 8.1–8.15; in annual and lifetime reproductive success (ARS and LRS), 226–243, 368, 376, figs 13.1–13.17; in area of home territory, 164–166, fig 9.3; in behavior toward kin and nonkin, 345, 492; in body mass of adults and juveniles, 39, 244–261, figs 14.1–14.18, table 14.1; among burrows and burrow entrances, 21, 28; in clan and coterie compositions, densities, and sizes, 166–171, 459, figs 9.4–9.10, table 26.1; across colonies, 465, 493; in colony size and colony composition, 204–205, 217, figs 11.1, 11.2; color of pelage, 9–14, 17, 59–60, 443, images 2.1–2.9; in dates of estrus and copulations, 265, 268, fig 15.4; in demography across years, 206, fig 11.4; in ejaculates, 376–377; among females and males, 294–295, 376–377; in first mixings of juveniles, 88, fig 5.7; fleas on prairie dogs, 35–37; in frequency of aboveground nursing, 336, figs 18.1, 18.2; in frequency of infanticide, 383, 399, 469–470, table 26.1; in frequency of polyandry, 350–365, 467–469, figs 19.1–19.14, table 19.1; genetic, 17, 60–61, 254, 351, 467; geographic, 258–259; in interspecific killing of ground squirrels, 448, 451, 455, figs 25.2–25.4, images 25.1–25.7; intraspecific, 179, 457, 467, 472; in juvenile body mass at first emergence, 324–326, fig 17.6; in length and dates of gestation, lactation, and parturition, 316–324, 328–329, figs 17.2–17.4; in litter size at weaning, 324, fig 17.5, images 17–1–17.2; longevity, number of males per clan or coterie, 190–201, figs 10.1–10.9; among males in giving mating call, 298–315, figs 16.1–16.12, images 16.1, 16.2; in maximal longevity, 212–213, fig 11.6; in parallel dispersal, 419; in predations and predators across years, 108, 120, 466, table 6.1; in regarding flea counts from burrows, 80; among researchers of the Prairie Dog Squad, 295; in sex ratio, 356, 434–443, image 24.1; in standard errors (SEMs), 69; in tendency to commit, or be victimized by, infanticide, 399; in vigilance, 125–126, 127, 130, 465; figs 7.1–7.4, 26.2, table 7.1. *See also* annual variation
- ventriloquism, of prairie dog alarm calls, 138
- Verdolin JL et al., vs different gunnison alarms for different predators, 155–158; and gunnison sexual dimorphism, 260; kinship of gunnisons in same clan determined by microsatellites alone, 178–179; kinship vs resources regarding gunnison spatial structure, 196–198; and siring of offspring by resident gunnison males, 374–375. *See also* Slobodchikoff
- video camera, mounted on a drone, to record hard-to-see behaviors, 493–494
- vigilance, 123–131; antipredator vs social, 123, 128, 129; vs auto- and allogrooming, 123; vs center-edge positions, 129; coordination of, 130; costs and benefits of, 123; factors that affect, 125–126, table 7.1; focal samples vs scan samples, 123–125, fig 7.1; intersexual differences, 126–128, figs 7.2, 7.3; vs intracolony position, 129; low and similar across species, 130; postures, of alertness/vigilance, 32, 94, image 7.1; reduced, as benefit of coloniality, 98; sentinels, 130; synchronization of, 130; ways to quantify, 123; variation, among individuals, 125–126, fig 7.1; variation, within the same individual, 126, fig 7.2; vs ward size, 128–129, fig 7.4
- village, of prairie dogs, 7. *See also* colony; town
- visual alarm, 94, 95, 98, 106, figs 5.8, 5.9, 5.10
- visual alarmer, 98–99, 106, fig 5.10
- visual conspicuousness, of colonies, 76, 86, 87, 91, 105, fig 5.6
- vocal alarm, 94. *See* alarm call
- vocal conspicuousness, of colonies, 76, 86, 87, 91, 105, figs 5.5, 5.6

- vocalizations, 32–35; 132–160; to aerial predators and snakes, 97–98, 157–158; defense bark, 34–35; tooth chatters, 35, 43; uncommon vocalizations that I did not study, 32; as way to discriminate among species, 18. *See also* alarm call; jump-yip (black-tails); laughing bark (utahs and white-tails); raspy chatter (gunnisons); territorial call
- voyeur, 269
- vulnerability, of alarm callers, 2, 133, 135, 150, 153; to American badgers, 110–113, figs 6.1, 6.2, images 6.1, 6.3; to black-footed ferrets, 115; vs body mass, 142; vs clan or coterie size, 150, 194, fig 8.12; to different kinds of predators, 109, 149, figs 6.1–6.4, table 6.1; of different utah individuals to predation, 2, 113–117; of dispersers, 115, 408, 423–424; factors that affect, 113; of juveniles, 100, 115, 147, 341, 462; by long-tailed weasels, 117–119, image 6.6; of males that give mating call, 306–307, images 16.1, 16.2; of marked prairie dogs, 59; to monkeypox (mpox), 487; of old, sickly, and young prairie dogs, 2; of peripheral utah individuals, 115, 129, fig 6.4; to plague, 479, 487; of polyandry vs monandry, 362; of prairie dogs in livetraps, 50; of prairie dogs that copulate aboveground, 290, 351, 393, 397; of prairie dogs in snow, 58, 120, image 4.11; of prairie dogs to extinction, 476, 488, table 27.1; of pregnant utah females, 2, 116–117, 240, fig 6.3; to predation, 107–121, 123; of recent utah immigrants, 2, 115–116, fig 6.3, image 6.5; by red fox and northern goshawks, 113–117, 120–121, 240; and selfish herd effects, 99; of sexually mature utah males, 2, 116, 240, fig 6.4; of small, isolated colonies to extinction, 481; of solitary prairie dogs, 92; sufficient for listing with ESA, 486; of utah juveniles, 115, fig 6.3; from visual and vocal conspicuousness of colonies, 86, figs 5.5, 5.6
- vulnerability of mothers, to infanticide, 102–104, 194, 399
- vulva, closed vs open, 54, 291, fig 15.19, image 15.8
- vulvar sniffing, 277. *See also* sniffing
- wandering, of estrous female, 354, 366, 373, 378
- ward, or subcolony, 7, 29, 16–43, 76–77, 202–218
- ward density, 64, 76, 88. *See also* colony density
- ward size, and ward rank, 64, 76, 203; vs vigilance, 128–199, fig 7.4. *See also* colony size and colony rank.
- wariness. *See* vigilance
- warning bark. *See* alarm call
- weanling, 7, 317, 324. *See also* communal nursing; lactation
- weasel. *See* long-tailed weasel
- weather, inclement, vs accuracy of behavioral observations, 294–295; vs first emergences in morning and final submergences at night, 20, 119; and flea counts, 36; vs induction of co-submergence at night, submergence during daylight hours, and sometimes periods of dormancy that last several days, 20–21, 251; vs; livetrapping, 51; vs onset of estrus, 61; vs onset of mating season, 265; vs tendency to disperse, 424; and snow-dogs, 294; windy, 66, 72, 73, images 4.14, 4.15, table 4.2
- wee-oo call, of black-tails, 32, images 3.7, 3.8. *See also* jump-yip; territorial call
- white-tailed prairie dog (white-tail, WTPD), 14, images 2.8, 2.9
- whole-litter infanticide, 387, fig 21.5
- wild rodent plague, 83. *See also* plague
- Wilson, Edward, xi, 392, 458
- wind, problems for watching, 66, 72, 73, image 4.14
- Wind Cave National Park, xiii, 22–23, 25, 45–46, 64, 67, 73, 108, 138, 162–164, 324, 386, 423, 458, 477, 487, 496–497, images 2.10, 3.1, 4.13, 8.6, 9.1, 17.1, table 4.1
- work schedule, for Prairie Dog Squad, 20, 47–48, 66, 73, 118, 283, 293, 318, 322, 339, 368, 386, 392, 399, 442, 493
- worm. *See* roundworm; tapeworm
- wound. *See* injuries
- WTPD. *See* white-tailed prairie dog
- Wyoming ground squirrel (WGS), 1, 5, 14, 29, 148, 209, 235, 242, 382; absence of conspecific infanticide, 451; defenses against interspecific killings by white-tails, 451–452; description, 443–444; diet, 444, table 25.1; and interspecific killings, 441–455; like miniature prairie dogs on caffeine, 444; predators, 448, table 25.3; vs Richardson's ground squirrel, 1, 443; scavengers of WGS carcasses, 446, figs 25.1–25.7, table 25.2
- X-chromosome, and unguarded X-hypothesis, 211
- yearling, defined, 7, 245, 367; annual reproductive success (ARS) for yearling females, fig 12.1; ARS for yearling males, fig 12.2; probability of copulation for females and males, 209, 250, 410, 412, figs 11.5, 14.6
- yelp, 32
- zoo, good place for curious naturalists to watch prairie dogs, 487–488, image 27.6
- zuniensis*, 11, 18, 20, 476, tables 3.1, 27.1